T. A. M. Drwell 37 WA

## THE LATE SESSION

OF

## THE PROVINCIAL PARLIAMENT

OF

# LOWER CANADA,

BY

### AN OLD COUNTRYMAN.

"This is certain—the House of Assembly passed these Bills—the House did all that they could; they failed after they left the House; they were either not taken into consideration by the Council at the time of the prorogation, or they were rejected by the Council, by that Legislative Council in which Executive Councillow take a leading and influential part. What must we then ca'l that allegation which attributes the loss of these Bills to the House?"—Netison's Quebec Gazette, March 1897.

We can, and do say, that the House of Assembly performed their duty, and that all their zeal and diligence have been frustrated; and we do further say, that it was the most ex-

travagant and desperate injustice to attribute the loss of these measures to the Assembly."—Ib.

"If any body of Legislators were to reject measures beneficial to the country, not because they did not feel and understand that they would be beneficial—but because it was considered desirable to punish the country—they would, by ecting on such motives, be turning against the country the power with which they were vested, for its benefit. They would be unfit for their trust and ought to be stript of it. They would be public enemies, and should be disabled from perpetrating public mischief."—1b.

MONTREAL, L. C.

APRIL, 1936.

## The second of th

. . . . 

What was a second of the secon and the second is

3 418 - 570 100

### PROVINCIAL PARLIAMENT,

#### SESSION 1835-36.

The motion of Doctor O'CALLAGHAN to print and publish, for the information of the good people of this Province, a list specifying the number of Bills passed by their Representatives during the last session of the Provincial Legislature, and rejected by the Legislative Council, or amended by that body, in such a manner as to cause their ultimate loss; also, the Bills which originated in the Legislative Council, and were sent down to the Assembly, caused no little sensation in the Life Legislative Wigwam. Already, two semi-official articles in defence of "the much abused and calumniatedLegislativeCouncil,"have been sent forth to the public, in the desperate expectation by taking the field thus early, of shielding that Council from the consequences of their evil deeds. An attempt to scrub a black amour white would be as successful.

But it is not with the weapons of invective that we wish to combat. We shall confine ourselves to facts.

In the appendix to this pamphlet will be found a Statement of the Bills introduced and passed by the House of Assembly during the last session of the Provincial Parliament, and sent up to the Council, and which were not returned, or which were amended by that body so as to cause their ultimate loss. It appears by the Recapitation annexed therefor that in 109 sitting days one hundred and seventeen Bills were introduced into the Assembly, and that six were received from the Legislative Council, making 123 in all.

There were besides 15 Permanent. 'ommittees appointed which made 63 Reports, a d 1:1 special committees which made 104 Reports.

Thus far, the session was one of unexampled labor and industry on the part of a Body, of which, on an average, there were not often more than 60 members in attendance.

In an Assembly such as ours where the Representatives are closely connected with their respective constituencies, living for the most part among them, and intimately acquainted with their wants, it is to be presumed that by far the greater number of the Bills which passed the Assembly were required by the country. Yet what has been their fate?

(1) Adepted a subsect of the published depression which is not proposed as a property of the proposed and superior and property of the prop

Of 107 Bills sent up to the Council, 34, or nearly one third, of the whole, have never been heard of; and 15 have been so amended as to be rendered utterly useless, if not worse than useless. Thus nearly one half of the work of a session of five months continuance has been entirely destroyed, and the great expense of money and time which has been incurred by a protracted session, rendered utterly fruitless, by what has now become a systematic rejection of measures required for the public benefit.

If, on the other hand, we examine the labours of the Legislative Council, what is the most startling feature that strikes us? In a session of one hundred and forty seven days, that body produced six Bills! one of which was to amend the road act, and the other—worthy offspring of such a parent!—to repress Chariveris!!!

It is true that during this period they were not idle; they were busy destroying nearly one half of the Bills which the people's representations had previously passed; thus, in the words of Neilson's Gazette in 1827 "turning against" the country the power with which they were "invested for its benefit." Thus affording an incontestible proof of anfitness for their trust, and how strong is the necessity which exists of stripping them of their power and "disabling them, as we would public enamies, from "perpetrating public mischief."

The most of the Bills thus crushed and annihilated by our irresponsible Judgeshaut concerned closely, we might add, vitally, the public interests and prosperity of the Trovince—the liberties and safety of its inhabitants. There was the Quarantine Bill, to prevent plague and pestilence from mowing the people down by tens of thousands: the Jury Bill, to prevent their lives and liberties being sacrificed by corrupt Sheriffs, dependant for their existence on a more corrupt Executive, and the Agent's Bill to afford them some chance of escaping the miseries attendant on foreign legislation. There werethree Bills to diminish law expenses, and to enable the people at a small price, to know what is the Law of the land : a Bill for the relief of Insolvents, and another to prevent fraudulent mortgages: there were three Bills to extend and continue our Municipal Laws, in conformity with the spirit which is guiding His. Majesty's Councils in England, and which has been expressed and recommended even in his Majesty's late Speech from the Throne; and another, to give to His Majesty's Subjects inhabiting the Parish and Townships in the Country parts of this Province, the power to manage their local concerns, on a similar principle to that which prevails in the neighbouring Province of Upper Canada : there were three Bills to finish public improvements already commenced, and in an advanced state towards completion. These were, first, the Bill to complete the Chambly Canal, on which work £66,600 have already been expended and sunk, and which undertaking, instead of being a national honor, has been converted into a monument of disgrace to the Legislative Council, the creature and impotent screen of a Government hostile to the improvement of the Province-2d, the Bill to complete the Montreal Harbour improvement, and 3d. the Bill to construct a Lock at St. Ours, for the purpose of rendering the River Chambly nav. igable, during the whole of the summer sea. son : there was the Bill to indemnify the Governor for advances made on the faith of the House of Assembly, another to enable the Government to pay the public officers a part of their salaries, without having recourse to robbery and spoliation of the public treasure: and though last, not least, there were two Bills to promote the EDUCATION of the rising generation by the rejection of which, nearly 1500 shools will be shut up on the 15thMay & nearly 40,000 little children of both sexes turned loose on the road-ide, deprived of the opportunity of completing their studies, and exposed to the danger of losing in idleness, if not in vice, the

fruits of years of patient and praiseworthy in. dustry and application.

We might add to this frightful catalogue. other measures of public utility which have been destroyed by our task-masters, but our stomach rises as we proceed. We see before us only additional proofs of the bitter hatred that Council entertains against the people, over whom an angry providence has placed them in authority. Every where is apparent the safety of the people jeopardized—their liberties curtailed and endangered—the advancement of the Country obstructed-the education of its inhabitants impeded and arrested, and the public property exposed to that same system of mismanagement and pillage to which, like a sacked city,it has been delivered ever since the annexation of the Province to the British Crown. Every item in the black list only strengthens the conviction that the Legislative Council of Lower Canada, in the words of John NEILSON, 1827, have "turned against the country the power with which they were invested for its benefit"-that" they are unfit for their trust and ought to be stripped of it" - that they are " public enemies and ought to be disabled from perpetrating public mischief."

The principal defence which the apologists of "the much abused and calumniated Legislative Council" make to the charge of systematic rejection by that body of the numerous bills received from the Assembly—is "the lateness of the season at which they have been sent up."

This defence is but a repetition of what has already been said, a thousand times, on behalf of those abusers of public patience. On examination it will be found desitute of all foundation.

Of the thirty four Bills sent up to the Council and put by that body, under the table, without being returned to the Assembly, the greater number were communicated to the Council before the month of March. Seven of them were sent up in the month of November 3, in December, 5 in January, 4 in Feb. Of the remainder, 12 were passed by the Assembly previous to the 10th March.

Now if "the lateness of the season at which they were sent up" caused the loss of so many Bills, how came it, we ask, that the Legislative Council rejected those which were communicated to them, in the months of November, Docember, January and February? The lateness of the season at which these

y in.

logue,
have
ut our
before
hatred

e, over em in fety of

of the inhabd the tem of

like a nce the British t only

John John country for its

r trust cy are d from

gists of islative reitle reills reateness

nt up."

nat has

behalf

exami-

founda -

Coun-, withgreat-Council of them Novem-

4 in passed March. t which many rislative

nunicavember, The these were sent up could not have been the cause. They surely were sent up early enough.

Before making this defence for "the much abused and calumniated Council," the apologists of that body ought to have first enquired whether it could be supported even by the proceedings of the party whose defence they are engaged to make. To prove that the "lateness of the season" at which the Assembly sent up those Bills, has alone produced all the mischief of which the country has to complain, it ought to be shewn that it prevented the Council allogether from proceeding upon, and disposing of, any of the Bills which were sent up so " late in the season." If this could be proved, then, indeed, the Assembly would have much to answer for. But so far from this being the case, the Council, as can be conclusively shewn by statement drawn up from authentic papers, positively considered, and disposed of in one way or the other, all the Bills, that were sent up so" late in the season."

The plea, then, that " the lateness of the season at which the Assembly sent up its Bills," was the cause that so many failed to become Law, is utterly devoid of all foundation on fact. The Council were never more actively employed than in the last 12 days of the session. in their work of destruction and mischief. " The lateness of the season" is put forth merely to blind the public, but cannot stand the test of dissection. Of the Bills sent up in the last 12 days, they contrived though "late the season" to "tomahawk" 21, which is equal to nearly 70 per cent. If the almost incalculable amount of mischief which they committed in the preceding months at their leisure, be added to this, the public will have the means of forming some idea of the extent of evil which the LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL of LOWER CANADA has perpetrated during THE LATE SES-SION OF THE PROVINCIAL PARLIAMENT.

We shall now proceed to examine seriatim the several Bills which have been destroyed by the Council, and enter into such details as will, we hope, enable the public to judge impartially, between their responsible Representatives and their irresponsible Life Legislators.

1. THE AGENT'S BILL.—This Bill has been regularly introduced and passed by the Assembly every year, almost for the last thirty years, and as regularly thrown out by the Council.

The Imperial Parliament of Great Britain and Ireland, 3,000 miles distant, in which the people of this Province are not, and cannot be represented, has most unconstitutionally taken upon itself to legislate on the internal affairs of this Province

—to dispose of our property without our consent—to play the same game here that it played in the old British Colonies, and which terminated by the glorious Revolution of 1776.

We shall cite a few examples of this unconstitutional legislation. During, or previous to the last war, the House of Assembly generously insposed temporary taxes on the people, in order to assist Great Britain to repel the American Army, and to preserve a resting place on this continent. After the conclusion of the war, what did Great Britain do in return for this act of generosity on the part of the Canadians? By an Act of the Imperial Parliament (the Canada Trade Act) she unconstitutionally and ungratefully rendered those temporary taxes permanent, in violation of the rights of the subject and of her own Declaratory Act, wherein she solemnly promised that she would not impose taxes on Colonies having Representative Assemblies.

Again, in 1825 she threw into confusion the Civil Law regulating the Tenure of property in this Province, and passed an act introducing the English Civil Law among us, together "with all its incidents," as Judge GALE said-such as the law of primogeniture and entail, by which the eldest son is enabled to rob all his younger brothers of all share of their patriniony - and the English mode of conveyancing, by which a deed which formerly could be had for 7s. 6d. is made to cost five guineas, which John NEILSON said in 1828, "is more than the lot of land is worth." The following is the account of the effects of this British Act on property in this Province, as given by this same Mr. NE'LSON, in his evidence before the Committee of the House of Commons

"It is declared (by the Tenures Act) that from 1774 down to the present time, the laws of England regulate the whole property in the Townships. Now every man has divided that property according to the laws of Canada. I myself trusted persons upon the faith of their being possessors of land in that country under the laws of Canada; but it appears now that according to the English Law it was the eldest son that had all, and they nothing, being younger sons, and I have no security for my money?"

"Men that thought themselves the owners of land in that country (the Townships) are no longer owners of it, and it would be difficult to tell who are the owners of it."

Such are a few of the consequences which have resulted from the unconstitutional legislation of Great Britain in our internal affairs. Titles to property jeopardized—creditors robbed—and the younger children beggared.

But even this is not all. In the year 1834 the

British Parliament passed another Act by which mearly a million acres of our land was conveyed away, for next to nothing, to a company of foreign speculators, and stock-jobbing blacklegs, most of whom-never saw this country. By means of this law, the produce of the labour of the people of the Province is sent to England, to be spent in riot and luxury, and a fund is established to place the local Executive, and the office-holders of the Province, beyond the control, and independent of our Representatives. The Governor's speech at the close of the last session of Parliament proves this. " I shall be under the necessity," says Lard Gosronn, " of applying the revenues at the disposal of the Crown, (that is, the Land Company money, and the Land and Timber fund,) to the payment of the office-holders' salaries"-thus destroying all Constitutional control of the Commons of the country over public

To protect the people of this Province against foreign legislation, of the miseries of which we have given above a few ramples, our Representatives in the House of Assembly resolved, in imitation of the other British Colonies, on having an Agent in England to watch over our liberties, and to prevent them being destroyed by British Acts of Parliament. The following is Mr. JOHN NEILson's opinion on the subject: [vide his evidence before the Committee of the House of Commons 1828 ]

"The Parliament has reserved to itself the right of regulating our Trade, and in fact it is the supreme Legislature of the Empire, and we have found by experience that it has occasionally made laws that affect us. Now, we think, that as we have no representation here [in England] t would be conducive to the welfare of the Colony, and probably to a better understanding of what is done here, if there were a person resident here that might attend to those matters. It may happen, that there are abuses in the Colony, concerning which it may be necessary to apprize the Government here; now if there are abuses it would be better that there should be some persons authorised by the Colony, and recognized by the Government, to make representations to the Government, so that the matter may be quietly exami-ned into and adjusted; any abus s of Government there may be put an ent to by instructions to Governors. An Agent would be able to make the Colony understood to the Government of this country in some measure, and the Government of this country better understood to the Colony, besides attending to the business before Parliament. had Agents, New Brunswick has an Agent, Jafor an Agent, and certainly if there had been an Agent it would have prevented a great deal of alarm and ill feeling in the country.

"The only object is, that the branches of the Legislature of the Colonies, may

be heard in this country. It may be irregular in some respects, but there has been a necessity found for comething of that kind. I ruther think that Agents have done more good than harm on the whole." [p. p. 946, 94th May, 1898.] PAT otite

at C

troo

WOU

to a

doin

mov

nam

the

The

bere

citiz

mon

have

It.w

thos

For

3.

CER

to al

ly ol

to th

and

full

on

ject

tru

cert

first

cil i

trod

ing

ed

by .

WA

up

it,

· ha

ple

tri

J. STEPHEN, Junz. Esq., late Counsel to the Colonial Department, and fately appointed to succeed Mr. Hay in the Colonial Office, on being asked if he saw " any objection to the Canadas having an Agent, in the same manner that the Colonies having Legislatures have Agents ?" answered, [vide his Evidence before the Committee of 1828, 24th June.]

"On the contrary I'should see great advantage in it. I apprehend that the appointment of an Agent for any Colony is attended with the greatest advantage both to the Government and to the Colonists.

"Has not the ground on which the appointment of an Agent has been resisted in Lower Canada been, that the Governor always said that he was the only proper medium of commu-nication between the Government and the Colony ?- I believe the Governor has said that he was the Representative of the Colony. Lan-guage of that kind has perhaps been thrown out without much consideration.

All the efforts to have an Agent's Bill passed, have, however, always failed. No reasoning-not even that of Mr. JOHN NEILSON, the head of the Constitutionalists—has convinced our irresponsible Council of the propriety of having an Agent in England. Sir James MACKINTOSH, the luminary of English history, has been named and objected to. Mr. LABOUCHERE, who is at present one of the British Ministry, was named and has also been objected to, and so has Mr. Humg. Year after year this Bill has been thrown out, for it is the desire of the Legislative Council that the Colony should not be understood by the Government in England; it is their wish that our lives, our liberties, our properties, and our dearest rights should be at the mercy of men 3,000 miles off, most of whom know no mure of Canada than they do of the moon. Is it just that the interest of the Province should be thus perpetually in dan-dr ger of destruction ?

2. REMOVAL OF TROOPS FROM PLACES OF ELECTIONS During the several Elections the Troops stationed in the City of Montreal have been kept under arms, ready to march at a moment's notice sgainst the Electors of this City, at the call of the Tory party, During the Election of 1827, when Mr. McGill, now a member of the Legislative Council, was one of the Bory Candidates, application was made by Mr. (now Judge) GALE & Mr. HENRY GRIFFIN, the returning officer. and first signer to the muster Roll of the late proclaimed Rifle Corps, to have the military in readiness to shoot the Electors of the West Ward of this City. In 1832, at the request of Mr. M.

the

to

an-

270

bre

en-

ith

ent

nt-

Ver

aid

he

WD

ed,

not

the

ni-

ent

ni-

ob-

lso

BOT

is

ol-

ent

ur

bts

B, n

n. di

OF

70

at

of

be li-

(0

cil, and of Dr. ROBERTSON, President of the Constitutional Association, the troops were called out, and shot three of the citizens. In the late Election at Quebec, it was openly stated, that not only the troops were under arms, but that the Cannon would be fired on the people in case of any disturbance, which the Tury party did all in their power to excite. Under such circumstances, the Representatives of the People would not have been doing their duty were they not to endeavor to remove those troops. For this purpose the above named Bill was introduced. It is borrowed from the British Statutes, but with lighter penalties, The Legislative Council, several of whose members have the blood of our slaughtered fellowcitizens to answer for, and who still thirst for more blood, have thrown out this Bill. It would have been a barrier to their sanguinary projects. It would have been a safeguard to the lives of those people, whom they hate with a deadly hatred. For these reasons it was rejected by that Council.

3. To ADDIEST THE PUNISHMENT OF PILLORY IN CERTAIN CASES.—It was proposed by this measure to abolish this punishment in cases of perjury, and, we believe, libel. It was rejected by the Council.

4. Parish AND Township Officens.—The only objection the apologists of the Council can make to this Bill is its being "a bantling of Mr. Child's and that it exhibited the Elective principle in full force." These truly are weighty reasons on which a legislative body acts. The first objection to the Bill happens not to be altogether true.

The Bill to allow the people the power to elect certain officers to manage their local affairs was first introduced by Mr. Peck, formerly King's Coun. cil in this Province. It was next taken up and introduced by Mr. THEODORE DAVIES, then representing the County of Ottawa, and since appointed Registrar of the County of Two-Mountains by Lord AYLMER. This gentleman has been always a staunch tory. He it was who introduced have for the election of the Magistrates by the People into the Bill. After Mr. Davies ceased to belong to the Assembly, the Bill was taken up by Mr. Child, who took great pains to improve it, and sent it again to the Council. It is mainly founded on a similar Bill passed last year by the Parliament of Upper Canada, and which even the Herald of this City approved of at the time. It has been always a great outcry against the people of this Province that they are opposed to contributing any ithing directly to ameliorate their local condition. This Bill conferred on them, however, the power to assess themselves for certain local purposes, such as making Roads or Brid-Ti sole 0.

Tig test

ges, of building School Houses or Town Hal's, As it enlarged the political rights of the prople, our irresponsible Legislative Council lors crushed it at once. "It was an uniconversable monster," because "it exhibited the "Elective principle in full force!" and conferred on the people the power of regulating their own local concerns.

JOHN NEILSON told the Committee of the House of Commons in 1828, that " there is one " thing that is desired to give them [the Townships] which they have in the IInited States, "and that is the power of regulating their own " little local concerns, and which I conceive," continues the chief of the Constitutional party. " contributes very much to the prosperity of " the United States. Every district of country " regulates matters of common conveniences " such as Roads and Bridges. What can be "done by an individual, is done, but what can-" not be done by an individual is done by a " common effort of the whole community as " determined by the majority, whereas in the " Townships they can get nothing done with-" out delay and expenses."

The following is "the difference between "the state of things in that respect in Canada "and in the United States," as described by Mr. NELLOW in his evidence [page 86, 24th May, 1828.]

" In Canada we have been plagued with an old French system of Government, that is to say, a Government in which the people have no concern whatsoever. concern whatsoever. Every thing must proceed from the city of Quebec and the city of Montreal, and persons must come to the city of Quebec and the city of Montreal to do every thing, instead of being able to do it for thomselves in their own localities. In the United States they have the Estatish system, by which every locality has certain powers of regulating its own concerns, by which means they regulate them cheaper and better; whereas with us, a man must make a journey to Quebec, he must go to a great expense—he must bow to this man, a.. d bow to that man, and rap at this door, and at that door, and spend days and weeks to effect a little improvement of a road, or something of that kind, of common convenience to a District. whereas all that is done in the UNITED STATES without going out of his own small district."

Well, it was to put an end to this "old French system of Government in which the people have no concern whatever," with which they are "plagued," and by which they are obliged "to make journies to Quebec, to go to "a great expense—to bow to this man and to "that man—to rap at this door, and at that

- the test of the transfer of the street of the

5. ATTACHMENT OF SALARIES OF PUBLIC OFFICIANS.—This measure owes its origin to Mr. Las, formerly the representative of the Lower Town of Quebec. It was to oblige the Gentlemen office-holders to pay their debts. These gentry now run in debt, and laugh at their creditors, because their salaries cannot be touched. "This Bill," says the apologist, for the Legislative Council, "was refused—not on account of its principle of course,—but owing to the present unprecedented and extraordinary position of the Julges and public officers in this "Pravince."

This is one of the lamest apologies we ever read. If it was rejected not on account of its principle of course, but on account of the unprecedented circumstances, what, we ask, was the reason of its having been heretofore rejected? Heretofore the unprecedented circumstances did not exist. How came the Bill then to have been rejected when introduced by Mr. Lez, and after him by Mr. Duval!

The Council, to our own knowledge, have hitherto rejected it on principle. This year they abandon the principle and hold on to the "anprecedented position." Whenever "the unprecedented position" will disappear, the Council will fall back again on the principle, and thus it will be to the end of the enapter—from "principle.

ciple"to'unprecedented circumstances, or some other lame excuse—& from this back again upon "principle," whilet all the time the tradesman and store keeper will be cheated and pillaged, until they obtain an Elective Legislative Council, for the Tories will be always "good at a trick," and never at a loss for an excuse.

ell o

for

of th

min

the

lativ

The

tion

imp

it is

hetr

888

Not

4mor

Ma

acti

inte

ada

pro

son

cou

tho

exc

dis

the

to

Ca

A

6. WOUNDED MILITIAMEN.—This was a Bill to allow those brave men who were wounded during the last war in defending and preserv ing this Province for "ungrateful" England, the means of more conveniently establishing their claims, and procuring that allowance for loss of health or limb which they so much merit. The apologists for the Legislative Council say it was " a complete job"-Why did not the Council then endeavour to improve it? Why did they insolently reject it ?. It was sent up in November. They had time enough. It is the first time however that we have heard of Militiamen making a "job" of their wounds. This is not the class of men who dabble in jobs. It is rather those who deny them justice and mock at their honorable scare. Should this Province be again invaded, the neglect and insult which these worthy vecerans now meet from the Legislative Council and their. organs, may cost England the loss of a fourteenth gem from her diadem. Ingratitude seldom goes unpunished even in this world.

7. REPAIRING HIGHWATS and BRIDGES .-This Bill, like the Parish and Township Officers Bill, [No. 4] was to allow the people to regulate their local concerns as far as regarded Roads and Bridges. It allowed them to elect certain Road Officers, and to do their business without being troubled hunting after Grand Voyers who live at a great distance from them-But Grand Voyers are the relations or nominees of their Patrons, the Councillors. One is Aide-de-Camp to the Governor. His must not be touched. Another is an Executive Councillor-the burthens of the people must not be lightened at the expense of his pooket. Now, the Bill in question, did reduce these Grand Voyers' exorbitant fees, which are a great obstacle to new settlements, as every farmer knows. Besides, it allowed the people to manage their own little affairs without being obliged to spend days and weeks from home, bowing to this great man, and bowing to that great man, rapping at this door, and at that. The Legislative Council were however determined to protect their " friends," and so put this Bill on the shelf. "The whole system, as I "said before, is hitherto a French system of a Government"—said John Nasleon in 1838; "it leaves nothing to be done by the people." This is the system which the Legislative Council of this Province is determined to continue, for as we remarked before, it takes money out of the pockets of the people, and puts it into the pockets of Grand Voyers, the relations and nominees of Legislative Councillors. Ought not the farmers be great triends of the said Legislative Council?

on-

t a

Bill

ded

erv"

and,

ing

for

erit.

...

the

Vhy

up

It is

of

nds.

in

tice

bluc

fect

low

heir.

our-

sel-

Offi-

to

ded

dect

ess

and

em.

ees

ieze

. .

ery

ple

ing

me,

hat

iat.

ter-

put

8.—To RESULATE THE NOTABIAL PROFESSION. There is no profession, in our opinion, of greater importance to the public, and the proper regulation of which is of more consequence than this. Its importance will immediately be understood when it is considered that there is scarcely a transaction between man and man in the Province but passes some how or other through the hands of a Notary. No contract is entered into-no imenoveable property exchanged—sold or leased—no Marriage deeds completed-scarcely any transaction of any consequence effected, without the intervention of a Notary. It must be therefore admitted by all, that the proper regulation of the profession is a subject of the greatest importance. The carelessness of the Judges in admitting persons to act as Notaries has however been so great, coupled with the hatred which the majority of those high functionaries bear to the system of Civil Law in force in the Province, that it has excited a grave suspicion in the minds of many, that it was intended by such carelessness to bring discredit on the Laws, by the ruin of individuals in consequence of carelessness and inattention in the admission of ill-qualified persons to the discharge of those important duties.

It was the intention of the Assembly, and of the framers of this Bill, to obviate those dangers, by making such regulations as would insure the admission only of persons of suitable legal acrements into the profession. By this Bill candidates for the Notarial profession were obliged to undergo a proper examination before a Board; and provision was made for the periodical inspection of the books and Registers of Notaries, thereby to insure the certainty that order, regularity, and care, should be observed in such important depa tments. This Bill passed unanimously in the Assembly, many of the members of which are practising Notaries, Lawyers, Landholders, and Merchants, who without doubt ought to be competent judges of what the public interests require. The apologists of the Council admit themselves that it is " much wanted ;" and that with the amendments which Mr. VidEn reported, principally suggested by the Montreal Notaries, the Bill would have been a good one. The Council, however, put it on the shelf, to keep company with the other "subjects" which they depatched during the Session, notwithstanding it is "much wanthd"and notwitistanding Mr. Viora's amendments. The whole concern was sent to the Greek Calends, "to give time to all parties concerned to be heard and attended to." The Bill has now been before "the parties concerned" at least four years. It is odd if they have not had "time" enough to consider its provisions.

9. REPRINTING THE PROVINCIAL STATUTEL—Whoever is conversant with our Statute Books, is aware that they are incumbered with many obsolcte or expired Laws which are no longer in force. Our Representatives have been desirous for a great many years to exparate the living from the dead laws, and to have an edition printed containing only the Laws and ordinances in force in the Province, so that every man for a triding sum may be able to know what is the law of the land, and direct himself accordingly. With this view they sent up to the Council the Bill to morint the Provincial Statutes.

Those who are employed to spolegize for the "tantrums" of our irresponsible taskmasters of the Legislative Council, say that the Bill was refused "because there was not money in "the chest to warrant its passing, and that it "was not otherwise objected to." The shallowness of this spology, and the impudence of the Legislative Council which countenances it, will at once be apparent when the history of the Bill is made known.

A Bill having a similar object to the present was sent up in the course of the last Parliament, to the Legislative Council, by which body it was amended. It being a Money Bill, the Assembly could not constitutionally permit the Council to amend it; but rather than the Bill should be los', passed another Bill, into which were introduced the Council's amendments, and sent it up atairs. What will the public think of this Council, when they learn that they three out the Bill altogother, even when it contained their own amendments? Every person must admit that it is difficult to get along with a body which could be guilty of conduct so incomprehensible as this.

This year the same Bill, with their own amendments, was again sent up. Now, however, the excuse is, that there is not money enough. Why, the whole expense would be no more than a few hundred pounds. But it must be remarked that there was plenty of money in the Chest the last time the Bill was

sent up, when the Council amended it. How came it that they then threw it out, with their own amendments to boot?

The truth is, the plea that is hought forward is patched up for the occasion. One year the Bill is thrown out for this reason-another year for that reason. Whatever be the motive, nothing is certain but the rejection of the measure. We have shewn that they already threw out the Bill, even when their own amendments were agreed to, and when there was plenty of money in the chest. The true reason of the rejection of the measure is, that the Council are becoming every year worse and worse-every year more the friends of monopoly and their favorites, and that the good or advantage of the public is a matter with the irresponsible and life Legislators, of secondary consideration. Their constant opposition to the reprinting of the Statutes was happily compared by Mr. PAPINEAU, at the last West Ward Election, to the conduct of the Roman Tyrant who had his Laws written in such a small character, and fixed so high on the walls, that no person could read them, and then punished by torture or death whoever was ignorant of the Law or disobeyed it.

14. Czssio Bonorum.—The Constitutionalists have long been loudly crying out for a Bankrupt Law in this Province. The Assembly have for nearly twenty years been endeavoring to introduce the ScotchLaw of Cessio Bonorum, which provides that an unfortunate Debtor shall have a discharge, on certain conditions, after he gives up any property he may have remaining, for the benefit of his creditors. The Legislative Council have always pertinaciously opposed the passing of this humane law. For what reason, not even their apologists can explain.

11. MAINTENANCE OF COURT HOUSES AND JAILS.—"This was objected to," say the spologists of the Council, "because it was a Bill to tax the poor for the benefit of the rich." How humane, all at once, are our Life Legislators—our Lords and taskmasters!

This Bill provided for the maintenance of Court Houses and Jails in the counties, by a light additional tax on Law proceedings in those several Courts. The same principle has already been sanctioned by the Legislative Council, in the case of the Jail of the St. Francis District twas, indeed, first suggested by one of their own members! Now, however, they have taken

a sudden liking to the poor, who they would wish us to believe they are anxious to protect. They who already threw out the Bill obliging office-holders to pay the poor tradesman whatever they may owe him; they who, for the sake of a few hundred pounds, have been eternally rejecting the bill to give cheap laws to the poor; they who threw out the Bill to provide for the poor wounded militiamen; they who threw out the Montreal Harbor Improvement Bill, and the Chambly Canal Bill, which would give work to the poer; they in fine who rejected the Elementary School Bill, the great object of which was the education of the poor; they to set up as the protectors of the poor against the rich! What lypocrites! Why, their every action during the last Session gives the lie to the reasons which they offer for rejecting this

12. TO REGULATE THE MANAGEMENT OF THE JESUITS' ESTATES,-No public property in the Province requires proper management more than these Estates. Hitherto they have been administered solely for the benefit of a plundering sett of harpies, whom neither shame, nor conscience, nor public indignation, can force to let go the gripe they have obtained over them. One Legislative Councillor has got 26,000 acres of these Estates, positively for nothing. Sillery Cove, even against the solemn promise of the Governor in-Chief, has been secretly partitioned out, to the damage of the public interests, for hundreds of pounds below its value in the market, among men who have no recommendation but that they are warm partizans of the Legislative Council-stiff Constitutionalists. Farms belonging to these Estates, have been given to Government favorites for a nominal sum, three or four times less than the value; and so far from the capital being paid, the purchasers have not been even troubled for the interest. Portions of these Estates have been alienated ato the admitted amount of nearly £15,000, not £2,000 were collected so far down as 1231. The Jesuite' College in Quebec, which has in the 19th century, and by the British Government, been converted into a Barrank, is another instance of the diagraceful manner in which these Estates have been administered.

To save the wreck of those Estates, was the object of the Bill before us. Instead of having them managed by a Commissioner, who has been convicted out of his own mouth of incapacity and ignorance, a proper establishment was provided, and means were also taken that the uncultivated lands belonging to the Estates should be disposed of in convenient lots, to settlers willing to culti-

vate and reside on the same, instead of gifting thousands of acres to Legislative Councillors for nothing, or next to nothing. The Legislative Council-those great friends of the poor-threw out this Bill which went thus to furnish the poor with Farms under easy terms, because the Jesuits' Estates are considered a " nest egg" for Executive and Legislative Councillors, and the members of the Constitutional Association; and bccause the present Commissioner is a Legislative and an Executive Councillor, and one of those friends of the poor, whose charity begins at home The truth is, if the present Bill went into operation, it would put an end to a shameful system of spoliation and corruption which has long been going on. As might have been expected, the Leg slative Council therefore threw it under the table.

13. PRINTING AND DISTRIBUTION OF THE LAWS. - This Bill was to throw the printing of the Staenten, like the printing of the House of Assembly, open to competition. At present it is a monopoly given to the Editor of the Quebec Mercury, and the Editor of the Quebec Gazette, (by authority) as a reward for the constancy with which they defend the misdeeds of the office-holders, and abuse the House of Assembly. An idea of the jobbing which the public are the victims of at present, may be formed from the fact, that the present Law-printers charge £558 11 101d. for what can be done for £400, if the work was open to competition; and other work for which nearly £100 is charged, can, it is stated, be done for almost a tenth of that sum. It was with a view to economy of the public funds that the present Bill was introduced. The Legislative Council-the friend of the poor-who threw out the Bill for reprinting the Statutes, because there was not enough of money in the Chest, now reject this Bill bechuse it tended to save money, and to have less the Chest by Messrs. FISHER and then usual. Our Council is certainly 1 very consistent in its actions. At one time it is a great stickler for economy, when the cry' will protect its favorites. At another time it considers (as in the present case.) economy as "a ricketty concern," when it militates against its friends. " Such is the " stuff that man is made of," says BENTHAM, in principle and in practice; in a right track and in a wrong one, the rarest of all human " qualities is consistency."

14. TOESTABLISH A POST OFFICE.—At present, between £10,000 and £12,000 are sent to England from the Canadas yearly, by the Deputy Post Master General; this being the

excess of the receipts over the expenditure. By the Post Office Bill, it was proposed that this surplus should be kept in the country, to be expended in extending increased Post Office accommodation and Mails throughout the different sections of these Provinces.

At present, Mr. STAYNER, Deputy Post Master General, sporopriates £3,000 per annum to himself, whilst the Post Masters who do all the drudgery, have on an average but £30 or £40 per annum. The proposed Bill gave Mr. STAYNER, the Deputy Post Master General, £750 per annum, and the working Post Masters an increased remuneration on the same scale, as is established in the United States. Economy and responsibility was in other respects introduced into the Department ;-the postage was reduced, whilst at the same time the Revenue was not much affected. The Legislative Council, however, "the friends of the poor," rejected the Bill, by which means the poor General Post Office in England will continue to receive 10 or£12,000 a year from Canada-poor Mr. STAYNER, the Deputy Post Master General, will continue to pocket £3,000 a year, and the real poor people of Canada will have to pay high postage. and to have but scanty Post Office accommo-

But we are told that the Council lave addressed His Majesty on the subject. It was fitter for the Council to have concurred in the Bill. His Majesty had been already three different times addressed on the subject. His Majesty requested the Provincial Legislature to legislate on the subject. Instead of so doing, the Council obstruct the public business, and allow the provincial funds to be exported to England, or wasted in high salaries on public officers, instead of being laid out for the benefit of the people of the Colony. And these are the people who pretend to object to tax the poor for the sake of the rich. What a rich commentary their acts are in their professions.

15. FURTHER ENCOURAGEMENT OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION.—Of all the Bills rejected by the Council during the last Session, the Bill for the further encouragement of Elementary Education is the most important, and the one the loss of which will be most generally felt throughout the Province. Whoever will imagine the existence, for six years, of from 1000 to 1300 schools, and the gradual increase of scholars in proportion; the sudden cessation of those schools, and the unforcescen deprivation to nearly 40,000

to the ide for threw t. Bill, id give sed the set of they to set the

'every

would

rotect.

liging

what-

r the

eter-

lie to g this of THE in the re than adminact of ice, nor e gripe islative Letates,

ngainst lef, has lage of s below lave no artizans utionals, have lominal ie; and chasers interest.

have in there

having the having tas been city and trovided, altivated disposed to culti-

children of the means of instruction, will have it in his power to form some idea of the desolating effects which the determination of the Legislative Council in rejecting this Bill will produce in this unfortunate Province.

The Representatives of the people were for nearly 40 years endeavouring to extend the benefits of education throughout the country. The Legislative Council, with its usual hostility, steadily opposed, until within a few years, all attempts to afford the people the means of instruction, except on conditions dangerous to the religious opinions of the Roman Catholic population, and new that opposition is again revived. In 1801, an Act was passed for the establishment of Schools; they were to be under the management of a derporation. That corporation was not named until 1817, and when it was named, it happened to consist mostly of persons of one religion alone. The Protestant Bishop, and the Clergy of the Church of England, were at the head of the Corporation, and the great majority of the members were of the same church. This tended to confirm the suspicion of the people that this Board, (like the famous Kildare-street Society,) was appointed not for the purpose entirely of educating, but rather of proselytizing the children, from the faith of their forefathers. The children belongng to the mass of the population would not go to these schools, and in consequence they fell through. They have had very few scholars, and Mr. John Neilson (from whose evidence these particulars are taken, vide evidence, 5th June, 1838) states, that though they, up to that time, had applied £30,000 of the public money, they had not educated 1200 children a year.

We perceive that the Legislative Council, in its Resolutions of the 15th March last, rejecting the Elementary School Bill, recommends the organization of a Central Board of Superintendance, by which the course of instruction may be more effectually ascertained and directed, and the expenditure of the public money more effectually applied. This is merely a revival of the ROYAL INSTITUTION under another form, which the Council wishes to establish. We have already from Mr. NEILSON's evidence before the Committee of the House of Commons, shewn what stuff that "Central Board" was made of. We give another extract from the same evidence (24th May, 1828) in order that the public may thoroughly comprehend the difficulties which the Government and its supporters, the Council and the Royal Institution, have thrown in the way of education.

Mr. NELLSON is asked,

"What are the peculiarities in the State of Lower Canada which have occasioned it to remain so

much behind the rest of the continent in point of information?

Answer. The country is very much extended, It is difficult for the people to establish Schools themselves. They had no authority until lately, even to hold property for Schools; and under difficulties of that kind, it is natural to suppose that education would not spread so rapidly as in the United States, where from the commencement there had been a regular provision made for schools on pretty much the same plan as in Scotland. In Lower Canada we have had nothing in favor of schools except the act of 1801 [the Royal Institution] which has done more harm then good, with respect to the general advancement of Education, for it alarmed the people with regard to their religion. The schools were under the control of persons that they considered adverse to their religion, and it was thought that it was attempted to get the whole of the children to school, in order to convert them, or pervest them, as they called it, and it excited a great deal of alarm."

Anxious above all things to have the people educated, a Bill was introduced, in 1814, in the Assembly, by which it was proposed to establish a system similar to that established in Scotland, with some of the improvements of New England. Schools, by this act, were to have been established in the various localities—and the people were to have the power of assessing themselves for the purpose of maintaining those schools, and to appoint Trustees, &c. Numbers of Bills to establish schools in the Province were introduced after this, and rejected by the same Council, who would have no other act than the proselytizing Act of 1801, and the Royal Institution.

Such is the history of Education in Lower Canada, up to the year 1829, when the first Elementary School Bill was passed, From that period to the present, the benefits of Education have been widely and extensively spread ing and taking deep root. Its progre be ascertained from the following fact. year 1829, the number of scholars at Elementary Schools amounted only to 14,753. In 1835, the number at the same schools amounted to 37,658, being an increase in six years of 22,905 scholars, independant of the augmentation to be expected in schools established by private societies in the Cities, or by Fabriques or Vestries, in the country parts. In 1829, the proportion of pay scholars at these schools was 1 out of 2. In 1830, the proportion of pay Scholars was somewhat less, being 8 out of 19. In the year 1835, the proportion of pay scholars was more than two thirds of 37.658

the whole. The Legislative Council accuses the inhabitants of the Province of relaxing in their exertions for the support of schools. Facts contradict the assertion. The following however will set the matter in a clearer light.

t of in-

intely

under

made

plan

except tution l

res

a tion,

théi

ontrol o their

as, at-

erveri

great

people

in the

estab-

ed in

ats of

eré to

ities-

of 48-

main-

stees.

chools

is, and

bave

Act of

Lower

first

From

duca

4.753

hool s

rease

epen-

ted in

a the

untry

ars at

e pro-

is, be-

ropor-

rds of

. Mill nor tim murtel in a cicutet uate.	
1833.—No. of Scholars in	
Elementary Schools	29,377
Eree 10,744	•
Paid 18,633	
	-29,377
1834 No. of Scholars in ditto	32,309
Free 10,193	
Paid 22,116	
	-32,309
1835.—No. of Scholars in ditto Free 12,498	37,658

The Legislative Council in the Report to which it agreed on the 15th March last, state that their sole object is to consider the principle upon which it is expedient to grant public money in aid of general Education and the best manner of applying that principle, and having considered that question, Resolved and "that it now becomes "the duty of the Legislature to require the inhab-"lants of the Province to contribute more large-"by by their own voluntary exertions, and with "their own means to the establishment of a sys-"tem of Elementary Education."

Paid - - - - - 25,160

We have already shewn by the proportion of those who pay for their Education, that the Inhabitants contribute "largely" already to the education of their children. They have not had hitherto the legal power to assess themselves, or they would have done so. There are two principles on which Elementary Education can be supported; one, by grants of public money; the other the Scotch system, by which the people of each the population of the people of each the people of maintaining the Teachers.

The House of Assembly, as will appear even by the partial extracts of their Reports which the Committee of the Legislative Council have made, have all along been of opinion that the expenses of those schools should be provided for by the people themselves. But at the same time they wisely recommended "that the provision for Elementary Schools should not be abolished before a better system could be introduced." The Legislative Council however, abolish the provision, and introduce no system on its ruins, thus leaving the people without the means of educating their children. They have demolished the building which sheltered nearly 40,000 little children.

without erecting another in it a stead to receive the houseless!

In accordance with the views expressed so, far back as the year 1814, and seitorated so repeatedly since, the House of Assembly introduced provisions from the Scotch system into the Bill now under consideration, and empowered " the heads of families in each School dis-" trict to meet, and a majority of those present " to vote any sum or sums of money for the " purchase of a lot of ground for the site of a "School House, or for the building or repair-"ing of any School House, or for the support " of any School House or Teacher of a School "District." Here was the basis laid of a systom by which "the Public Revenue would be relieved from the expense which it hitherto bore, and the people," according to the wishes of the Legislative. Council "influenced " to take a mo. e decided interest in the prosperity of institutions for the education of themselves and children." Strange to say, the Legislative Council threw out the Bill containing the recommendations made in their own Report!

The practice which has bitherto prevailed in this Province of voting a portion of the public Revenue for the encouragement of Elementary Education is not peculiar, or confined, to Lowen CANADA, and its existence among us does not betoken any lukewarmness for, or indisposition towards, the benefits of education. Large grants are yearly made by the Imperial Parliament, for the encouragement of Education in IRELAND. We have before us the last Report of the Committee on Education of the Parliament of Novascotia for the year 1835, from which it appears that more than the fourth part of the expenses of Common or Elementary Schools in that Province, is borne Ly the Provihcial Treasury. This Committee in their Report give it as their opinion " that the Province " is not yet ripe to assume the burden of main-" taining a system of Elementary Education by " an equitable assessment on the population " according to their ability," and for that reason recommend the continuance of the Legislative grant, and of the expiring Act for two years longer.

It has thus been shown that neither the inhabitants of this Province, nor their Representatives, are behind other Provinces similarly circumstanced, in their efforts for Education. The Representatives of the British inhabitants of Nova-Scotia declare, that the Province is not yet ripe for the system of assessment, and prudently recommend the continuance of the Legislative grant for two years longer. The Representatives of this Province provided in the last Bill for the introduction of the system of assessment, but the Legislative Council regardless of the prudence of our neighbours, moved only by its antient hestility to the mental improvement of the people, rejects the measure altogether, and abolishes the Legislative provision for the Elementary Schools, not only before any better system is introduced, but without making any provision for the wants of the community. Such wanton wickedness is upparalled in any Legislative Body in the world. It is turning against the people the power with which it is vested for the people's benefit. Before abolishing the existing system. they were in duty bound to provide some other in its stead. They incurred this responsibility towards society by the rejection of the Elementary School Bill. Having failed to acquit themselves of that duty-having thereby shewn how unfit they are for the trust which they have assumed, the sooner they are stript of it the better; the sooner they are disabled from perpetrating any more public mischief, the safer for society. To wage war against the public by depriving them of the means of Education, betokens a malignancy of spirit, a determined and bitter hatred of the people, that cannot, that ought never to be forgiven. Let the people therefore with one accord demand the abolition of this Council which has proved itself a public nuisance—the arch enemy of society. Its arm being raised against every man, let every man's arm henceforward be raised against

16. To PREVENT AND PUNISH THE FRAUD CALLED Stellionate: - The party opposed to the House of Assembly, and who maintain that they are only represented and protected by the Legislative Council, have been clamorous about frauds which might occur from secret Mortgages. In the course of last Session, the Petitions which were presented on this subject, were referred to a Special Committee, which on the 23d Feb. recommended the re-establishment of the Bill under consideration, "convinced," says the Report, "that the defects and inconveniences which are " felt in the execution of our laws concerning " hypothecations, are in no wise owing to the sys-"tem itself, because the greater part of them did ont exist in the country whence we derived "these very laws, but are father due to the coff-"struction which has been put upon the laws in "question, and the manner in which they have "been executed in this country, where they have "never been administered in their true spirit and "in their full extent."

duti

bal

one

thi

por like me she she in fire

"Among the causes above alfield to," continue the Committee, "it is necessary to instance more particularly the absence of the laws for the prevention and repressal of the frauds committed in Civil transactions which were known in the ancient Law of the Country, under the name of Stellionate. It cannot be doubted that by thus cutting off a principal portion of our law of hypothecation, occasion has been given to the greater part of the difficulties and inconveniences which have been complained of, and which have been foliate, and but too frequently, attributed to the whole system of law concerning hypothecation,"

By the ancient law of the country, a man who would sell property, and conceal that there is a Mortgage on it; or borrow money upon land or property, and declare that the land he mortgages is perfectly free, was declared guilty of fraud (Stellionate and was upon discovery, liable to imprisonment until he paid the damage suffered. This salutary law was declared by the Judges not to be in force. The object of the Assembly in passing the law under consideration (which was introduced by Mr. Guay) was to revive the comential part of the law, so that the person guilty of fraud by concealing secret mertgages, should be punished until he should repair the damage inflicted. The Legislative Council, on the contrary, rejected the measure, which must be considered certainly an inconsistent proceeding on the part of that body which has cried out so lustily against " secret incumbrances."

17. REDUCING DUTIES ON TOBACCO.-By the Law now in force, Tobacco imported direct from the United States, pays a duty of from 5040,75 per cent; but Tobacco imported from or wie to per Canada, pays only a duty of 20 per-The object of this Bill was to equalize the so that all Tobacco imported should be liable and to 20 per cent duty. It is stated by the apologists for the Council, that " this Bill was anima "that it should not go into operation before the "10th October next, as it was considered unjust that an Act repealing duties should go into oper-44 ation immediately, there being heavy stocks in 'the country on which duties had been paid." We know not on what foundation this assertion has been made. All we know is, that the Bill was never returned to the Assembly, either with or without amendments. The Council smothered it. It was said at the time, that it was rejected because some of the members of the Legislative Council dabble in Tobacco; and their private inhe conlaws in sy have by have irit and

continnatance for the ounmitown in ler the ed that of our iven to inveniit, and sently, excise

re is a and or ages is (Stel-prison-This to be age the duced I part and by sished The

2 Who

body et inr the feem 9035 dip-1005 enly pote

d the

y an

the spect perin id."
ion
vas
or
red
ted
ive

terests would be affected by the reduction of the duties, and as it is well known that—

When Legislative Councillors are in the case, All other things of right give place. So this Bill was thrown out on the principle that half-a-million of people ought to suffer rather than one life Legislator should complain.

18. SIX MONTHS SALARY BILL .- The people of this Province have been for more than twenty years unsuccessfully demanding a redress of the multitudinous Grievances under which they suffer. They have petitioned-ent home Delegatespetitioned-begged and prayed; but all to no purpose. Finding that their rulers were somewhat like the little boy who was caught stealing the old man's apples, and would not be persuaded by soft words, or clods of earth, the Assembly followed the old man's example, and "tried what virtue there was in Stones." They refused the supplies in 1834, and again in 1835. In consequence of this firmness of purpose, a new Governor was sent out, who made the finest and emptiest professions imaginable, and promised the world and all, if supplies were voted. In the midst of this palaver, the Assembly discovered by the publication of the Minister's Instructions to Sir Francis HEAD, the Lieutenant Governor of Upper Canada, that there was to be no real Reform; that all the fine professions were nothing but "blarney;" they voted a remonstrance to the Imperial Parliament, in which they said that they were determined not to be satisfied unless the abuses of which they complain should be redressed, and the changes demanded in the Constitution granted. Being unwilling to embarrass the administration, which made at the time some pretensions to honesty, although it has since thrown off the mask, and now treads in the unconstitutional footsteps of DAT. MOUSER and AYLMER, our Representatives voted a supply for Six months only, in order to allow the ment to be carried on until the Minister's gadh would be received. The Legislative however, was not satisfied, and the Bill the littled out. It was, they say, "a disgrace to Country pretending to be civilized," to make is Constitutional rights to enforce the Reof abuses. When the negro was being flogged by the Slave-driver, the latter kept scolding him all the time, to which Samso objected, saying, "If ye floggee, floggee; but no floggee and talkee too." Upon the same principle our Representatives have gone. They considered it unfair that the Country should be flogged and obliged to pay also. Whenever His Majesty's Ministers resolve to lay by the cut-o'-nine-tails of oppression and abuses under which the Province at present groans, the Supplies, no doubt, will be "cheerfully" voted,

but not until then. We will not pay and be flogged too.

19. QUARANTINE BILL.—This Province was visited by cholera in the years 1832, 1833, and 1834. The postilence, introduced by the River St. Lawrence, operand far and wide throughout the neighbouring States and Frovinces, and destroyed thousands in the first and last mentioned years. To prevent the desolation being introduced again, the House of Assembly passed this Bill, which was smothered in the Legislative Council. Should the cholera re-visit the Province this year, and again turn our fair country into a vale of death, on those life-legislators be the awful responsibility. On their heads be the consequences. They will have to answer before Gon and the country, for the lives that may be lost!

20. To change one of the places of followed in the County of Mississoul.—This Bill was passed on a petition from the inhabitants of the section of the country to which it refers. The Committee on Privileges and Elections had recommended it. The two members of the Countwers examined before the Committee, and their evidence was in favor of the change of the place of poll from Frelighsburg, which is situated near the boundary line, to a more central and populous part of the County. The Legislative Council, not being in any way responsible to the people, took some whim in their heads, and rejected the Bill. So much for Missiskoui County and its wishes.

21. To REDUCE AND FIX THE SALARIES OF CERTAIN OFFICERS OF THE LAW,—It is calculated that litigation costs the people of Nova-Scotia nearly £20,000 a year, exclusive of the time of Jurors, Witnesses, Clients, Bye-Standers, &c. We know not exactly what the same merchandize cost the people of this Province, but certainly, we believe they pay too dear for their whistle. A Special Committee, of which Mr. LAFONTAINE was Chairman, enquired, in the course of last Session, into the amount of fees received by some of the Officers of our Law Courts, the result of which was that the yearly incomes of

The Sheriff of Montreal, amounts

to . . , . . . £1999 0 2
Two Prothonotaries (each) . 1500 0 0
Two Criers——(each) . 482 0 0
Clerk of Court of Appeals (Que-

 pay £100 to the Ciler, and £80 to the Tipstaff; the Clerks of the Peace to be reduced by one-third also, and to pay £30 to the Crier of the Court of Quarter Sessions.

The Bill in question was to carry these resolutions and reforms into operation. The Leginlative Council, which threw out the Bill-to compel public officers to pay their debts, put this Bill also on the shelf. All Bills having for their object economy in the public departments, or cheap justice for the people, or a diminution of the burthens which they are now obliged to bear, get no quarter in the Legislative Council-ALI BABA would have a better chance with his forty thieves, than we have with ours. Untilthe waters of Radical Reform be turned in on the Augean Stable, it cannot be cleansed, purified, or improved. It is for the people, there, fore, if they want cheap justice, to insist on an Elective Council. Otherwise there is no salvation for them.

23. Appointing Commissioners to purchase THE SEIGNIORY OF LAUZON -- A Legislative Councillor made away with over £100,000 of the Publie money. After ten years struggling and litigation, the Representatives of the people succeed in having a part of his property-the Seigniory in question, seized by the Sheriff, to be sold in liquidation of his debts. In order at the same time that the people should have fair play, and that the property might not be sacrificed, perhaps for the special benefit of some other of the tribe of life Legislators-for there is nothing too small, nothing too vast, for their capacious mawthe Assembly pass a Bill to appoint Commissioners to look after the interest of the public. These Commissioners were authorized to purchase the property for the Province, should they perceive it about to be sacrificed. As the Legislative Council are not elected to promote the interests of the people, but nominated by the Royal Prerogative to look after and protect their own interests-to take care of No. 1-they sent this Bill to sleep its long sleep along with the others which they lynched. It is more than probable, therefore, the Seigniory of Lauzon will fall to the fortunate lot of some of our Lords -- or their favorites; and that the pullic interest will be but a secondary consideration. This is some more of the fruits of irresponsible Legislators appointed for life, instead of being controlled by the wholesome principles of responsibility and periodical Election. Our neighbours at the other side of the line manage their matters better. Legislators there are the servants, not the Masters of the people, as they are unfortunately with us.

24. To COMPLETE THE CHAMBLY CANAL.—
This important work was undertaken in order to render the navigation uninterrupted between Lake Champlain and the River St. Lawrence. The whole work, with the exception of a inite-and-a-half of excavation, and a five locks, is finished, at an expense of £66,000. The House of Assembly voted £28,000 this year, to complete the Canal. The BILL was thrown out by the Lecuislative Council!

The mischief entailed by the rejection of this Bill is incalculable. In the first place, the £66,000 already expended; will most probably be a dead loss; for private letters published in the Montreal Courier, state that the Canalis in many places filled up, by the banks falling in. In the second place, £28,000 is kept out of circulation, to the great injury of the working classes, and of the emigrant population, who, on arriving in the country next year, will be deprived of so much employment, and forced either to go to the United States, or to Upper Canada, where public improvements are carried forward on a great scale.

It is a curious feature in the affair to find the Legislative Council, which has for so many years been vaunted by the Constitutionalists as the friends of Emigration-the friends of Internal Improvements-of Commerce, &c., now opposing the completion of this Canal, so closely allied to the interests of emigration and of commerce. The Flouse of Assembly used to be, it was said, the great enemy of Internal Improvements; of Commorce; and of Emigration. Now, however, they vote £28,000 for the direct promotion of these interests, and the Legislative Council, the strong hold, and last refuge, of all that was for the improvement of the country, threw out the Bill! This truly is a novel way to advance the impres ment of the country-to encourage emission and to promote the interests of commercial

But, say the apologists, the Constitution of the Bill, "but the Government be prevailed on to recommend What is this but admitting that the Council is, as we have always asymptoty tool, the sycophantic slave, the important of the Executive. If they were the Bill, why did they not pass it, and throw, upon the Governor the responsibility of vetoing it? They have chosen to come between the Governor and that responsibility. For the consequences, the country holds them therefore responsible. They can never at any future period pretend to be an independent branch of the Legislature.

But it seems that the Governor would not recommend the measure because "there was no CANAL.—
n order to
ween Lake
noe. The
ille-and-afluished,
se of Asaplete the
THE LE-

on of this the £66,ably be a d in the s in mag in. In a classes, arriving wed of so go to the re public

eat scale.
find the
my years
as the
ernal Imopposing
allied to
co, The
said, the
of Com-

these ine strong r the imne Bill! murane-

ver they

Without it?

nsible. LAVES. end to:

e. not re-'as no This important work was undertaken in order to remier the navigation uninterrupted between Lake Champiain and the Rives St. Lawrence. The whole work, with the exception of a mile-and-a-half of excevation, and a few locks, is finished, at an expense of £86,000. The House of Assembly voted £98,000 this year, to complete the Canal. The Bill was Theorem out by The Lagrander to Canal. The Bill was Theorem out by The Lagrander to Canal.

The mischief entailed by the rejection of this Bill is incalculable. In the first place, the £66,000 already expended, will most probably be a dead loss; for private latters published in the Montseal Counter, state that the Canalis in many places filled up, by the banks falling in. In the second place, £26,000 is kept out of circulation, to the great injury of the working classes, and of the emigrant population, who, on arriving in the country next year, will be deprived of so spach employment, and foxeed either to go to the United States, or to Upper Canada, where public improvements are carried forward on a great scale.

It is a curious feature in the affair to find the Legislative Council, which have for so many years been vaunted by the Constitutionalists as the friends of Emigration-the friends of Internal Improvements of Commerce, &c., now opposing the completion of this Canal, so closely allied to the interests of emigration and of commerce. The House of Assembly used to be, it was said, the great enemy of Internal Improvements; of Commerce; and of Emigration. Now, however, it votes £28,000 for the direct promotion of these interests, and the Legislative Council, the strong hold, and last refuge, of all that was for the improvement of the country, thraw out the Bill! Chis truly is a novel way to advance the improveof the country—to encourage emigration, se the interests of commerce.

the apologists, the Council were the partial of the recommend, the measure."

The partiting that the Legislative divays asserted, the particular should be impotent across the impotent across to the Governor the responsibility of vetoing it? They have chosen to come between the Governor and that responsibility. For the consequences, the country holds them therefore, responsible. They have written themselves, down Statzes They have written themselves, down Statzes They can never at any fixture period pretent to be an independent branch of the Legislature.

But it seems that the Governor would not recommend the measure because "there was no

spars money in the sheet." But the Bill provided for this contingency. There was a clause authorising that the amount may be borrowed on the gredit of the Propince. If them was no money in the public chest, the work could still be carried on, for the necessary, funds could easily be borrowed. But the apologists usply, this clause was introduced "intentionally to defeat the object" Of the "intentions" of the Assembly we can only judge by their acts, and centainly it was a curious way to defeat the completion of the Canal, to pledge the credit of the Province to the re-payment of the money to he bortowed for that object Upper Canada is cutting the St. Lawrence Canal, and for that object borrowed on her credit mearly half-a-million. Was this glan adopted also " intentionally" to defeat the object of that Bill What the "intentions" of the Legislature were in authorising the loan, we cannot say, and do not care. All we see and know is, that the Caral is making, and if our Legislative Council had but sanctioned the Bill, and thus permitted the money to be borrowed, no doubt the Chambly Canal would be completed, whatever the "intentions" of the Assembly were. "As it is, the Canal is ruined by the servility of the Legislative Council, and their hatred of the people, over whom they ride rampant. We have no need of enquiring what their "intentions" were. have their acts to judge them by. Instead of the Chambly Canal being a source of profit and honor to the Province, it is converted into a monument of disgrace to the Legislative Council; and an additional argument of the necessity of rendering that body ELECTIVE. Were they once responsible to the people, they would not dare to insult the community by such tricks, or experiments on our patience, as they have made during fast session. 25. To CONSTRUCT A DAM AND LOCK AT ST. Ques. - This was another internal improvement smothered by our Life Logislators our Lards and Taskmasters. The River Richelian, for certain months in the year, is not navigable for steamboats and other river craft. It was proposed to build a Dam across the river, and a Look at St. Qurs, and thus render the communication between the Chambly, Canal and the River, St. Lawrence uninterrupted during the entire season of the navigation. For this purpose, £9,000 were voted, which together with £4,000 already granted for the same object, made a sum of £13,-000. The Legislative Council threw the Bill under the table, fur the same reasons that they burked the Bill to complete the Chambly Canal, and thus afforded another-proof of their great friendship for internal improvements, Emigrants and Commerce.

TANUARS ACT.—The only objection to this Bill that the apologists to the Legislative Council can find is, that it is an attempt at Imperial Legislation. Now we are not such measurement does, if we find it, bed, and to submit patiently to it because the mischief is theirs. Whatever is mischievous, or injurious to society, or an attack on the Courtitutional Rights of the People of this Province, we wish to remove or repel, whatever the quarter be whence it proceed. With a different spirit to this, we would be slaves; and what's worse, contented

Of the injurious effects of the Tenures Act, passed unconstitutionally\* by the British Parliament, we have already spoken in our remarks on the Agent's Bill (No. 1.) to which we beg to refer the reader. We shall here subjoin the remarks of Mr. Roznuck on the same subject, as they are to be found in the article entitled "The Canadas and their Grievances," published in the 3nd, number of the London Review.

"It would be well, in the first place, to understand what the tenure complained of really is; and secondly, to ascertain the truth as to the wishes of the Canadians respecting it. Lord STANLEY, with that peculiar precision and accuracy which distinguishes him, asserted, that there existed in Canada a feudat and herbarous system; whereupon, without doubt, his hearers fancied that the system prevalent in Europe in the fifteenth and sixteenth enturies now exists in Canada. The tenure on fief, in

Canads, signifies nothing like it—mesting only that the Seigneur, like a Lord of the Masse, possesses an estate, which in Canada is called a Seigneurie, much like that which in England is called a Manor, the difference being in some matters favorable to the Seigneurie. Under the Seigneur, there are certain freeholdess called consitaires. The Seigneur, holding of the King, pays him certain dues and fines, the tenant holding, for every of the Seigneur, pays him a rost. Now respecting this rest, there is no complaint. The obnexious incidents of the tenare are those of which we are now about to speak. Upon every transmission by sale of the tenure are those of which we are now about to speak. Upon every transmission by sale of the considere's "holding," to use an English law phrase, a fine is due to the Seigneur, much in the same manner as in England is the case with Copyholds. The fine is come twelfth of the purchase-money; this fine is termed lodes to enter. Besides this, the Seigneur, if he pleases, may himself take the land, paying the whole purchase money; this is called his droft deritrait. Furthermore, the parens [relations] in certain degree, of the censitaires, have also the power of preventing the estate going out of a family, if they please, by thormelves purchasing it. This is called the retrait lignager. The Seigneur has, also, within is Seigneurie, the exclusive right, under certain conditions, of grinding the corn of his tenants. This last power exists in many places in England.

can The switter with the work probable with t

Cath

th

"Now that this tenure is a bad one, we acknowledge; the Canadians acknowledge the same. It is chiefly bad for the same reason that tithe in England is had. It taxes improvement. But because the tenure is a bad one, that is no reason for robbing the Seigneur, by depriving him of his rights without a fair compensation; neither would it justify the interferance of persons ignorant of the laws of Canada, who by their ill-judged endeavors to remedy the evil, would create one yet more mischievous. The Canadians, by their representatives, say that they are exceedingly desirous of remediate this tenure of land a beneficial tenure, willing, and even desirous, to device endeavors to that end; but the out of the service of the

<sup>†</sup> The Seigneur has kind, like the Lord of the STANLEY seemed to suppose a Judge as well as Landlerd. also to remark that the Saigneur Councided, to concede a certain portion of it on demand, and at a certain quit rent, to such persons as may require to settle on it. The proprietor of free and common soccage lands a not under the same obligation.

<sup>†</sup> Except in Seigneuries held by English Seigneurs, where the rent [cens et rentes] exacted are excessively high, and oppressive to the constatres. Various instances might be cited.—Editor.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Parliamentary Legislation on any subject of exclusively internal concarn in any British Colony possessing a representative Assembly, is, as a general rule, unconstitutional."—Load Glengle's Instructions to Sir Francis B. Head, Licutenant Governor of Upper Camada, dated Downing Street, 15th Dec. 1835.

the power of effecting the end desired. The case of the tithes in England is one-precisely enalogous to this of the tenure en fief in Canada. The English demand a change of this property; the English demand a change of this property; the English demand a change it; but it is said that there are great difficulties connected with the subject, and therefore delay has arisen. The case has been precisely the same in Canada. The tenure on Acf, be it remembered, is not obnexious on the additional ground of being a tax for service, which in some cases is not desired and in others out rendered; therefore, in this case, there is not the strong and pressing reason for immediately changing it, which exists in the case of tithes. Moreover, the great body of the people are willing that their Representatives should act with care, and without heate; they do not press them to hurry on a change; they are willing to writ until all precautions shall have been taken to render the change efficient and beneficial But suppose that some one should state that the delay on the part of the English Parliament respecting tithe, was a proof that they were attached to old and mischievous Institutions; that they were wholly behind the present eslightened age; and that, therefore, we should solicit the assistance of the Concags of the United States to aid us in legislating on the matter of tithe. Such a proposition would very properly be scouted, and on the same grounds as ought to have been the interference of the English Parliament in Lise matter of Canadan Tenures.

Manor, called

England

Under

of the

es; the ur, pays there is lents of

w about

sale of

English

r, much

h of the

lode et

e pleas-

droit de

ions] in

the

ease, by

, within

er cer-

of his

ge the

reason

nprovene,that

by deompen-

ferance

la, who

ievous.

31 Jo c

such The

lands

nglish u) exsiva to

ht be

"While the peculiarly enlightened friends of Canada are complaining of these Tenures, and attempting to remedy the evile arising from them, they have by their attempts introduced a greater mischief than any that could result from the existence of the old Law. By introducing the law of England, they have produced so great a confinitud in the Law, as to render every title insecure, and further, they have introduced the right of Primocentrum. This right is contrary to the prevalent feelings of the people of America; it is contrary to all the Indiana, and creates disgust according to the People. The House of the People, The House of the Reople, The House of the Reople, and are

consequent varieties between the modes of consequent varieties and consequent varieties between the modes of consequent varieties and consequent varieties between the modes of consequent varieties and cons

beause they will not con-

morant of their Institutions

veyancing, and between the rules of law applying to a French Aef and an English freshold.

This gentleman does not apprehend any difficulties to agise as to the law of descent, were the Canada Tenures Act not to have been passed, and the law to revert to the state in which it stood before the enactment of that Statute "The French law of descent, whether conve-vient or etherwise," he says, " is at least in-telligible and well known. Supposing on the other hand that the English law respecting real property, in all its strictness, has been induced upon the soccage lands in Canada by the Tenures Act, the difficulties will, I apprehend, be found quite insuperable suppose that the Courts in Canada would be somewhat perplexed if they had to try, a real action, or to apply the law of contingent remain-ders to the lands in these Townships. There is no end to the illustrations. What would they make, for example, of a term of years in trust to attend the inheritance ?" "Wherever English colonists,"says the same gentlemen, further down, "find any of the continental codes in force respecting the continental codes in lores respecting the conveyance of land, they have clung to it with great eagerness, and have congratulated themselves on their deliverance from a heavy burthen. This is especially the case with the Dutch law in Demerara, the Spanish in Trinidad, and the French in St. Lucia."

Mr. STEPHEN is next asked,

"In those Colonies where the Dutchlaw, and different foreign laws exist, do they exist concurrently with English law?—No; all the lands in Trinidad are holden under Spanish law; and in Demorare, and the Cape, under Dutch law. This applies even to lands granted by the King of England.

"Is there any Colony in which the same Courts decide upon questions of English form, and upon questions as to the form of any other country?—I do not think there is any colony in which the English law exists concurrently with a foreign law. Each form may come into question in the Courts, incidentally, and indirectly, but nover as an established part of this judicial system.

"Is it your opinion that upon all those questions, complicated as they are, with regard to the tenure and transmission of property, the Colonial Legislature, with the advantages of their local knowledge, are much more competent to decide than the British Legislature —I cannot suppose any man, at all conversant with the subject, hesitating respecting the shewer to that question. Except there be a well-flunded distrust of the disposition of the Colonial Legislature to do right, no plausible reason can, I think, be suggested, for taking this work out of their hands: They are incomparably better qualified for it than you can be. What should we think of the Canadian Assembly passing Acts for the improvement of the law of real property, and conveyancing, in this country! yet I suppose they understand our system of Tenures at least as well as we do theirs."

<sup>\*</sup> Vide evidence of J. STEPREN, Junt., Esqr. before the Select Committee of the House of Com-

From the above opinions of gentlemen convercient with the question, and with the injustor committed, and the bindral efficie produced, by the publishing of the Teletree Act by the importal Parliaments, noise 18th impy be formed of the recessesy there exists to repeal that figh. The laws regulating the rists to property have been disturbed; shine stables to property have been fratended, and the property have been disturbed; shine stables to property have been intedents, it has been introduced. It is and the considered atrange, therefore, if the representatives of the country have complained of these meaning the form that proceeding and stabling so many unfortunate consequences.

The Canadians were told by His Excellency the Governor-in-Chief, at the opening of the last session of the Provincial Parsent, " not to far that there is any design to "disturb the form of society under which they " have so long been contented and prosperous. " However different from those of her colonists in "other parts of the world, England cannot but " admire the social attangements by which a small "I number of enterprising culonists has grown into
"a good, religious and happy race of Agricultur-" ists, remarkable for their domestic virtues, for a "cheerful endurance of labout and privations, " and for alerthese and bravery in way. There is " no thought of endeavouring to break up a system " which sustains a depos rural population, with-" out the existence of any class of poor."

"The form of society" so long producing such contentment and prosperity, has been disturbed; "the social arrangements" have been thrown into disorder; the British Parliament, we impeach of "a design"—of having "endeavored," by the passing of the Canada Tenues act, to break up the system so lauded by Lord Gosspan, by command of Lord Gosspan, by command of Lord Gosspan,

and whilst they persist in retaining that Law on the Statute Book, their professions will be considered as the professions of hypochices, sad will only furnish proble or their inducatity and disbonesty. If they mean what they say, let them receil the obstatote statute; which the Assemble of this Province has attempted to do, But which the Legislative Council-the creature—the mouth-piece—the slave, of a dichenest and hollow hearted Executive, has provented them from doing. Over and over again has the repeal of that act been demanded by humble petitions both by the people and their Representatives. In votern for their prayers, they get mouthfuls of unmeaning professions, which only expess the dishonesty of that Cabinet and Government which can readily make them but cannot be got to set up to what they professa.

A cry, we know, has been raised by the political enomies of the Assembly, that by the repeal of this act they wished to change the Tenute of Free and Common Socoage Lands in the Townships into that under which Lands in the Seigneuries are held. Nething can be more false than this accusation. To the first place the Canada Tenures Act does not affect the Tenure of Free and Common Soccage Lands in this Province at all. Its provisions apply to the Seigneurial Lands, the Tenure of which it is thereby intended to convertinto that of Free and Common Soccage. It was for the Seigneurial Lands it was passed, and not for these held in Free and Common Soccage. The Free and Common Soccage Tenure was established long before the existence of that act. It existed that act such statute was on the Boo there is a similar Tenure 27 The repeal of that act the any way affect Free 1 Lunds. Under these could be more false nothing more direct the assertions which the sembly have made, that be !! Çanada Tenures Act it was in the Tenute of the Township Lands from Free and Common Soccage into that under which Lands in the Seigneuries are held. The people of the Townships are contented and happy under the Soccage Tenure, and demand no alterations therein. The same freedom of opinton-the same privilege of judging what is for

their good, which they demand and possess,

mons on the Civil Government of Canada.
Vide also Mr. John Nell.com's evidence before
the same Committee.—Bit. p. p. 80, 81, 95.
The laws which regulate a man's property,
which regulate the inheritance of his children, and

The laws which regulate a man's property, which regulate the inheritance of his children, and all that, are always dear to every people, they must be very bad laws indeed if people do not get attached to those laws under which they have fived for a great length of time, and under which they have enjoyed the security of their property. The moment you talked about changing the laws, that moment there was an alarm excited throughout the country; it would be the same thing if you talked of changing the laws that regulate property in England or Scotland.

Do you allied to the Art called the Gamaia Tenures Act?—Yes.—Evidence of John Netlsen, Eeg. before the Canasia Committee, 1828.

the Canadians desire. That is, the free and will be undisturbed existence and possession of their Tonurs and system of law with which they are sequeinted, and " under which they have been thy and to long contented and prosperous," and etony, let they are unwilling to disturb athers in their rich the 10 to 10. property, they demand that they be not diso creisturbed by others in the passession of theirs. This is but justice, ... Of their laws of succes-" sion, of Tenures, and all others bearing on " their domestic and personal condition," says ot ogala Mr. JERRHIE, late Attorney-General of and their the Island of Mauritius, speaking of the inhabprayers, femions, itante of that ancient French Colony-" that is "best which pleases best; let them then retain hat Cabi-"theirs as long as they think proper. Every " stranger settling permanently in a country is ily make hut they bound to concede much, and make himself "sufficiently acquainted with the practices and the peusages of that country." The same sentiments t by the do we echo in regard to the Laws of Succesmy's the sion and Tenure in this Province ; that is west Socca ge which pleases best; let the Canadiens thereor which fore retain theirs as long as they think proper. Nething Should any imperfections exist, no doubt they tion. 'In who are most interested will soonest discover Act does them, and be the first to remove them for their Cammon own sakes. To this however the repeal of the all. Its Canada Tenures Act must be a preliminary step, for so long as that is on the Statute Book, ands, the ed to conthere is he security against further unconstitutional encroachment—there is no security a-Soccage. gainst further unconstitutional Legislation en Was Dasand Comthe part of Great Britain. The evil consequences of this, as regards the Tenures in mon Soudiffuye the Mis Prevince, we have endeavored to point out the heat of our ability in the preceding re-We trust they will sufficiently explain treasons which the Assembly of

> ACCRECATE ACTS INCORPORATING THE equade of bolingspile or Quiezc and Montreata

Municipal institutions (mys M. de Tobque-" VILLE) are to liberty what primary schools are at to knowledge; they bring it within the reach " of the people, give them a taste for its peaceable "exercise, and practice in its use. Without mu-" nicipal institutions; a section may give itself a " free government, but it has not the spirit of free-"dom. Translett passions, momentary inter-

had for introducing and passing

eideration. The security of

ty, and of their own indelied it. The same reasons no Localistive Council to reject

" outs, or the shorter of discussionees, may give "is the outstand forms of independence; but the 4 drupotic principle, which has been driven back " into the interior of the body, politic, will seemer " or later re-septent at the surfi

Mr. Paras McGuit and Mr. Gasans Mor-FATT were in 1897,no doubt,of the same epinion as Mr. de Tocqueville, for we find the former ntleman proposing, at a meeting of Magistrates held on the 10th Dec. 1827, in the Court House or this City, that a public meeting of the citizens be held on the 4th Jan, following, "to take into is consideration the propriety of putitioning the " Legislature for the incorporation of the City of " Montreal," which motion was seconded by Mr. George Morrayr, and carried. On the 4th Jan. 1898, the Meeting was accordingly held, Mr. Gronou Morrary in the chair. The following account of this important meeting we find in the papers of the day.

rapers of the day.

"Mr. Peren McCittl opened the business of the meeting by some excellent, justicious, and facid observations on the subject for their consideration. He dwelt in a forcible manner on the several advantages which have accrued to cities that enjoy an electre corporation. He instanced many cities, and cited many authentic facts. He unged that it was the duty of the citizens of Montreal to citians for themselves size to the advantages of such a regimen. Even if there should in the beginning be some slight difficulties in the practice of details, experience small soon reflews them, especially as the citizens bould not but be seasons, observant, and attentive in the conduct of a system intimately involving their comfort, their conveniences, and the security and value of their properties.

Missers. Viors, Parishau & Rolland addressed the meeting, as did Mr. Guons Morrary. This Gentleman spoke with great good sense and judg-

Gentleman spoke with great good sense and judg-

The Resolutions which were adopted by this meeting were proposed by Mr. McGill. After reciting the various inconveniences which were experienced under the old system—under the system to which we are on the eve of returning-Mr. McGill proposed "that the inhabitants of if the City are the best judges of what is neces-" sary for the promotion of its prosper-"ity; that in other countries, particularly in " the United Kingdom, great public benefit is 48 acknowledged to have arisen from the mea-" sure of confiding to the inhabitants of Towns " and Cities, the care of regulating their muwicipal interests, and that the establishment "of an Elective Corporation for Montreal " would accelerate its general imprevement, "tend to the better regulation of its Police, and " correct what is at present defective in the adof ministration of its fiscal concurse," which resolutions were dely seconded and carried, and a petition to the Legislature draffed accordingly.

In the course of time, the Act of Incorpora-

der which The peoand happy and no aln of opinhat is for

potsess,

tion was granted—and being about to expire, a Bill was introduced and seat up to the Council to improve it. This Bill was altered in such a manner as to be totally unmanageable. Seeing this, the Assembly ressed the Bill, now under consideration, simply to continue the expiring Bill, acting on the Morrayy and Modile principle, that" the citis no are the best judges of what is necessary, to promote the prosperity of the town," and that the establishment of an Elective Corporation would accelerate the genaral improvement of the city, tand to the batter regulation of its Police, and correct what is defective in the management of its fiscal concerns. But-varium et mutabile semper-Mesere. McGill and Morratt oppose, in 1836, what they advocated so warmly in 1897 and the Bill to continue the Corporation Auto was lynched accordingly.

Such are the surt of Legislators with which Lower Canada is afflicted. The astonished Public, no doubt, would wish to know what could be the cause of this strange inconsistency; this apposition to the measure of which eight years ago they were so much in favor. Eight years age, Mesers MOFFATT and McGhll were but simple Citizens, ambitious of popularity, and dependant on public opinion for any weight they, might have either with the Executive or somety. Now the same Gentlemen are Legislative Councillors for life; irresponsible to the people; independant of, and uncontrolled by, public opinion; and possessing influence with the Executive, not by virtue of their popularity, or of the respect in which they are held by their Fellow-Citizens, for they are above that, but by virtue of the sheepskin mandamuses which they hold for life from the Boyal Prerogative. They accordingly consider themselves entitled to oppose, in 1836, what they supported in 1897. Such are the baneful effects of irresponsible power. It is not only the cause of direct and practical injusy to society, but it is also destructive of the character of the individuals unfortunately invested with it.

28. CONTINGENT EXPENSES OF THE LEGISLATURE.—In 1834 and 1835, Lord AYEMER refused to advance the money necessary to defray the Contingent expenses of the Assembly, until that body should cover by Bill a few thousand pounds which had been already advanced. The Legislative Council party supported Lord AYEMER'S deformination. The House introduced a Bill sust Session to cover the Contingencies advanced since 1832, the period when the last Supply Bill was passed, including the

259,000 idvanced this year by file Excellency Lord Georgean. Stronge to say, the Legislative Council refused to pass the Bill to cover these Contingent Expenses, notwithstanding they and their perty, with Lord Azama at their head, have been so clamorous about them. Like the Suldier at the triangles, there is no pleasing them, etrike high or strike low. If the Bill is delayed, they become elamorous; if passed, they reject it. Was ever caprice equal to this?

29. To limit the humber of passenders comine in Preside.—There never was a Bill, the passing of which would have been fine age ceptable to the friends of humanity, than this. The miseries experienced by Emigrants from the old country, in their passage out to the Colonies, have been in many-alas in too many cases, of so distressing and leathsome a charector, that the traffic of transporting persons to America has long been known, says Mr. McGaroon, in bis " British America," (a) by the emphatic cognomen of " the white slavetrade," . " A ship of the worst class," says the same author, "ill found with materials, and " most uncomfortably accommodated, is char-" tered to proceed to a certain port where the " passengers embark ; crowded closely in the " hold, the provisions and water indifferent, "and often unwholesome and scanty, inhaling " foul air, generated by filth and dirt, typhus " fever almost inevitably is produced, and, as is well known, many of the passengers usual-" ly become its victims." The instances citeduit of the sufferinge of Emigrants, in consequents ce of the avarice and greedy idea gain which prevails over all feelig manity, in the persons engaged. of human tife, are most num cite a few.

of the Colonial Porter with a care. So a care of three feet batter. The weather care of three feet batter.

such, that for two weeks the authors of the control of the control

<sup>(</sup>a) vol. 2. p. 528. Edition, 1833.

" Forty men and terr wemen were sent to the " Hospital, of whom twenty died."

loney

inta-

nding

in at

hem.

If

i if

price

S CO

II, the

100

chia.

from

the

ma-

cha-

sons

Mr.

) by

AVE-

and

har.

the

the

ent.

ling

hus

26

ited

ual-

Boones almost equal to this have, in the memory of many, occurred on board Emigrant Ships which arrived in this Province in 1834. Whuster wishes for proofs, has only to turn to the " Report of the Sanitary Committee of the city of Montreal upon Cholers. and Emigration, for 1834," " Brigs of 900 tons are constantly advertised," says the Report, " as of 500 tone, and ships of 400 tone as " vessels of from 800 to 1000 tons.""It is a con-" stant occurrence that the number of passen-" gers exceeds that which should be allowed " to a vessel, according to her register, or to "a regard to the health, convenience and " comfort of the people on board." Two vessels arrived at Quebec in the year above mentioned; one was of 440 tons, and had nearly 500 passengers, the other was of 340 tons, and had 368 passengers. "Both these vessels had berthe down the centre, with a passage between of only two or three feet. Whole families of eight or ten souls were ledged in a berth, where they were obliged to est, having no room elsewhere. The stench and loul air were described as intolerable. A great number of deaths occurred among the passongers by these vessels.".

With facts before them of such a nature as these, the Assembly would have been culpably negligent had they not endeavoured to put a stop to such shameful diaregard and sacrifice of human life. In the United States, a law has prevailed the trade, the effect of the control of these porters never heard of

thown of a case of selatic month to the States from they apparent on board they up the St. Lawrence, Yamillar to all, and our by oholers twice im-

The case takes for the bation of the control of t "lied to one for every six tone, there would be " still sufficient to transport a greater number of " Emigrante than have arrived at Quebec in either " of the two past years." The Legislative Council have with their usual wish to promute the public welfare, thrown out this humane Bill, for what reason we searcely know. It is said to be a favorite measure of Mr. Lucian's, & they call it an attempt at Imperial Lagislation. If they refuse the Bill for no other measure than that it is a favorite with Mr. Lemmi, they prove most conclusively what sage Lagislatore they are. As for the Imperial part of the objection, we doubt if the emigrant or the colonist would once much where the idea came from, of limiting the rapacity of the traders in human flosh, so long as the poor possengers' health was strutected, and the Province preserved from perio: al desalation. The truth is, there are some in to Legislative Council who consider it more their dusty to parect the intercate oftrailers and speculators at the ther side of the water, than of the people of this Province. Money is their Gon, and they was not who suffers, so long as they and their and are unscathed in purse or prospects.

30. DUPL BILL.—To present the effusion of human blood. The Honorable concil being the guardian of all "affairs of hone, threw this Bill out to keep company with the creatine law, and the Passengers' Bill, which produce dead for the security of the public health. Oh! " gratful must all widows, and orphane be not Legislative Council. Git. Blauinforms us at Dr. Sangazoo maile, in the course of his metice, as many widows and orphane as were in atter the siege of Troy. Should our Life-Legislative continue their practice much longer, we were not be surprised to learn that they outrivalled as their list of slain even Sangazoo himself.

31. Commissions of Lawrens.—By the present system, Lawyers hold their Councilons (risum tenestic) during pleasure, and are obliged to pay 3 Guineas for each of the aforesaid Commissions. This Bill provided that they should get their Commissions for a few dollars, and that the objectionable tenure should be done away with. The Legislative Council put the Bill on the shelf. It touched the pockets of some of the office-holders, by diminishing their fees; and as the Council protect the effice-holders against the people, and not the people against the office-holders, the Bill was naturally thrown out.

32. Closing or Inventories.—When a man dies, possessing property, his widow or execu-

tors are obliged now by Law to have an Inventory made of all the property by a Notary, who administers an oath obliging the person to make a true return, and not to conceal any thing. This Inventory is returned to the Court of King's Bench, where the person (widow or otherwise, as the case may be) is obliged to attend to make an affidavit before the Judge that she has given in a fair return, and concealed nothing, and that should she at any future time discover that any thing was omitted, she will make it known. Well, to take this second oath, persons in the country parts have to come to town to the Judge-some from a distance of 30, 40, 50, or 60 miles, at a good deal of expense both of money and time; and moreover, when they do come, they have to pay a fee to the Prothonotary, for some writing or other. It was to remedy this onerous and expensive proceeding, that the Bill for the closing of Inventories was passed by the Assembly. By this Bill it was provided that the parties could settle all their business without leaving home. The Notary was empowered to do what the Judge does now. By this means, the journey to town-the money-the time-and the trouble-were all saved. How came the Legislative Council, then, to burke so useful a Bill ? Not even its apologists can tell. They observe a remarkable and a very proper allence on the subject.

#### AMENDED BILLS.

Having disposed of the Bills rejected by the Legislative Council during the last Sussien, we now proceed to the next class; viz. those amended by the Council, and consequently lost.

1. RELIEF OF CERTAIN RELIGIOUS CONGRE-

#### 2. ERECTION OF PARISHES.

These two Bills may be placed together, for in each the Council introduced the amendment that was fatal to both. The House of Assembly has always been the friend of freedom of conscience, and maintained that all Religious Communities should be on an equality in the eyes of the law. The Legislative Council are, on the other hand, great stickless for Churchand-State, and accordingly slipped into each of the Bills under consideration, an amendment, tending to recognize the Church of England, as THE ESTABLISHED CHURCH! Oh! stop a bit, says the House of Assembly ; de not be trying to get over the other Religious Societies that way. That church has already managed to seize a seventh of the Public Lands as Reserves

moto minister to its markily wants. Not entire fied with this holy subbers, its falends in the Legislative Council want to give it the potver to put its foot on the mocks of rall the other Churches and Religious Congregations. It cannot be admitted. So these Bills fell through in sonsequence of the "Church and State" amendments of the Legislative Council.

3. THE IMPROVEMENT OF THE MONTHEAL HARDON BILL.

Who has not heard the history of the famous Dredging Machine? The Assembly voted a sum of £3,000, in 1830, to obtain a Dredging Machine, to clear the Harbor of Montreal. The Hon. GRORGE MOFFATT, Member of the Legislative Council, was appointed one of the Commissioners. In that capacity, he, with his confreres called for tenders to ascertain at what price the machine could be had in this city (48 horse power.) Messre. WARD & Co., skilful and most respectable workmen, offered to make the Engine and muchinery for £1500. Messes. BENNETT and HENDERSON, another respectable firm, offered another estimate, including chains and anchors to moor the vessel, for £3000. A vessel to receive the macking was offered for £1,050 cy. The Governor mid he was glad to find that the work could be done in Montreal, and empowered the Commissioners to close the bargain, at the same time expressing a hope that the work would be in operation in the spring of 1831. Instead of ordering the machine to be made, the Commissioners patch up something very like a cock-and-a-bull story about recout improvement in the Clyde," advisable to postpone closing the ti of the Montreal manufactor letters are sent to News Ports Deedging machine will i St. Johns. Lettematte and they are informed be about £2,700 to 1 treal manufacturals, for sioners were only to in the fall of 1831, that ing the work. The have executed their contract force year, but the Commissioners fields, October a suspicious manner, that work crowded in on the firms, and they had as much business to attend to as they could get through, and therefore very properly left the gentlemen Commissioners to shift for themselves.

Representations were then made to th Legislature, that the machinery could not be got in this country!!! and that £1,500 more would be sequired. This sum was accordingly voted, and spent with a exception of a few pounds, Thus Mr. MOPPATT and the other Commissigners, stand charged

. tst. With wasting several years in getting this Dredging Machine under weigh, when it is on record that they could have had it in ope-

ration in the spring of 1831.

in the

other

ns. It

hpough

State'

TREAL

famous

voted a

edging

ntreat.

of the

of the

rith his

t what

ty (18

skilful

make

danne.

specia-

luding

pel, for

Was

mid be

done

mionera

ressing

in the

achipe

erefore

mers to

Legis-

got in

ould be

2ndly. With having sent the money to " their Correspondents" in London, and getting the work done there for a great deal more than they could have got it done in Montreal, thus committing a wilful waste, sending money out of the country, and discouraging colonial indus-

3rdly. With having thus caused a large pecuntary loss to the Province, besides the loss which commerce has sustained in act having

the Harbor improved.

Taking all these matters juto consideration, the Assambly resolved that other Commissioners should be named to superintend the Montreal Harhor improvement for Mr. MOFFATT and his brother Commissioners, had shown themselves guilty of gross neglect of duty, and incapacity, if not of something worse, and therefore unworthy of any further public confidence. The House, almost every year since, has passed Bills to complete the Harbour improvements ; to finish the Quays and to dredge the Harbor. According to the practice prevailing in England and in the sister Colonies, and to secure the Province from any further loss by the misconduct of interested individuals, Commissioners were named in the Bill. The Council, of which Mr. MOFFATT, the Mea member, have constantly rewe have repeatedly Conneillor is in the case, place. The imprave-Lof the country, must enity, egotism or selshould be touched. ch gabbles about Inahout being the of Jommerce. For the improvement of our the vanity of one man. The year after year, passed the Bil our Quays. The Legislative Council have as constantly destroyed the measure. Who then are the enemies of Commerce? Who the Anti-Emigrants? Who the impediments in the way of Internal Improvement? The Assembe which pass the Bill for expending £10,000 in the City, or the Legislative Council which destroys the Bill ?

By the destruction of the Harbor Improve ment Bill, the Dredging Machine is nullified. The Bill provided that the latter should be under the superintendance of the Commissioners of the Harbor. Now the first Bill having been destroyed by the Council, there are no Commissioners in existence; consequently, the Dredging Machine will have to remain idle. Such are the fruits of our irresponsible Life-Legislating system. Had the people the election of these gentry, they would not play this game twice.

4. JURY BILL. - By the common law of England, the Sheriff is obliged to draw Juries from the body of the District, so that justice may 'w dministered impartially to the subject "by his peers." Sheriffs, however, in Canada, have not regularly observed this rule. They summon whomsoever they choose to serve on Juries; and the conse quence is, that we are yearly exposed to that curse of society, packed juries; and the liberty and life of the subject is constantly expused to suffer from the whims, the caprices, or the corruption, of Sheriffs dependent for their lucrative situations on the will merely of the Executive. A state of things such as this is not only dangerous, it is disreputable. Of such moment was it to have the summoning of juries discreetly and properly regulated by law, that Sir Roszar Perl devoted much of his attention to the subject, and eventually had an Act passed for the impartial empannelling of jurors.

CANADA, has ever been, with the exception only of a few years, the victim of packed juries. During Sir JAMES KEMPT's administration, an Act was passed extending to the Privince the benefits of Sir ROBERT PEEL'S Bill Instead of placing on the jury whomsoever a corrupt Sheriff pleased, this Bill provided that dists should be regularly and indiscriminately made out, of those who were qualified; a certain number of names drawn from these lists were deposited in a box, from which the names of the jurors should be drawn by ballet. By this means a jury could not be packed. This Bill being about to expire in 1835, it was proposed to be renewed by a Bill renewing all the expiring laws. The Legislative Council amended this Bill by striking out the Jury Bill from the renewing Act. In 1836, a Jury Bill was sent up again. It was passed by the A sembly on the 16th February. On the 16th March, four days before the prorogation, it was returned with the most aristocratic, partial, and exclusive, amendments. By these, no person could be a Grand Juror unless he owned real estate in the city of Quebec or Montreal to the value of £1,000. Whilst at the same time any tenant paying £80 a-year rent, could be a

Grand Juyer. Out of these cities, qualified persons should own real estate to the amount of £500. The inequality and injustice, of such provisions are evident to every person who knows anything of the state of the country. The qualifications for petit jurers were equally objectionable.

Lest however there should be a chance that even these amendments, would be accepted, the Bill was carefully kept back until the patience of the members was worne out, and a quorum no longer remained in town. For several days the members did defer their departure from day to day, in the hope that the useful Bills which had been sent up would come down in due time for their consideration. But seeing that they were daily undergoing the usual process of mutilation, and that the Juny Bill was exposed to the same pricess, the quorum broke up, but not until the members had learned that the Council had made such organic changes in the measure as could not be assented to. Some days after the quorum broke up, and when the Council saw that there was no danger even of the morflated Bill passing. they sent it down with its amendments, which filled almost as many skins of parchment as the Bill itself. The inhabitants of the Province are thus for a longer time exposed to be victimized, either in their lives or liberties, according to the will of a Sheriff dependant on the Executive for his place, who is at full liberty to pack juries, either to satisfy his own, or his employers' vengeance.

5. AMENDING THE ACT RELATING TO ELECTIONS.—On the 30th of last November, the Governor-in-Chief sent down a message to the House of Assembly, bringing under the consideration of the House "an objection which exists to the 18th clause of the Act passed in the session of 1834, to regulate the manner of proceeding upon contested Elections of members to serve in the Assembly." This clause provides for the continuance of Election Committees after the close of the session, and was recommended to be repealed in the following words:—

"The Governor-in-Chief, to insure the retention of the Act, to which is no other assect to there any objection, recommends that a short Act should be passed repealing the clause in question."

The Assembly, in conformity with the message, passed the Bill under consideration, to repeal the objectionable clause, thus complying with the desires of the Colonial Minister. Nothing remained therefore for the Legislative Council, but to concur in the Bill. So far from so doing, the Council proceeded to amend the Bill, to which His Majesty's Government declared there was no other

objection, by extending to co-preprietors and cotenants the right of voting. .. It was remarked that co-heirs had the right to vote, and the election was made that this was a privilege enjoyed by only one party. To counterbalance it, therefore the Bill was amended by the Council. This was a most singular argument. It was admitting that the Tories could not have, or did not leave "coheirs," a disadvantage for which they must blame Providence, and not the House of Assembly. The Council's amendment, in the next place, opened the door to a great deal of traud, and furnished the means of splitting votes in a fraudulent manner. How many public institutions are there owned by share holders, each one of whom, by this amendment, having a few nounds interest in the institution, would have a right to vote. Valrious other objections were made at the time to the amendment. A

Instead of explaining the nature of the Council's alterations, the apologists for that body confine themselves solely to sincerely hoping "that His Majesty will now disallow the un-"just act which it was intended to amend." Why should His Majesty disallow it ? It was not the Assembly's fault if the wishes of His Majesty's Government were not carried into effect. The Assembly, in compliance with the Governor's Message repealed the 18th clause. The Assembly were told, at the same time, that His Majesty had no objection to any other part of the Bill. If therefore the views of HisMajesty'sGovernment were not carried into effect, it was the fault of the Legislative Count eil, and of that body elone. They travellist of the record by introducing their and we have to repeat, that their the wishes of His Mais not carried into exec

6. PERMANENT MANAGEMENT OF THE STATE OF THE

ofterwards! What the amendments were, it immessesses to enquire The Bill has been sent up hitherte, Session after Session, and each Session it failed for some reason or other. Had the Council been sincere in their desire that the Bill should pass, even with their amendments, they could have sent it down on the 15th February, instead of the 15th March. It is evident, from their retaining the Bill, until the querum broke up, that these friends of Education only adopted another plan to destroy the measure.

tack made there is a returned as a contract

nd co-

ed that

by sa-

reform

je was

ig that

11 CO+

blame

embly.

place,

nd fur-

dulent

e there

m, by

rest in

. Val

time to

Coun

y con

hoping

he un-

nend."

It was

hea of

erife#

Hance

ne 18th

e same

to any

ews of

ed into

Coupl

stained

no lon-

storned

months

5300

7. Judicature Bill .- Year after year all parties have been crying out against the wretched judiciary system in force in this Province. From the Court of Appeals, down to the Inferior Court, " the law's delay" has been a constant theme for complaint. The Bill before us provided for the establishment of a wellregulated Court of Appeals, instead of the irregular concern which the Province is afflicted with at present, where people appeal from one Chief Justice to another. By this Bill, four new Judges were to be appointed, and the Judges who were to preside in the Criminal Court, were to be Judges in the Court of Appeal for civil cases. The Judges who preside in the Civil Courts were, out of Term, or in vacation time, to go on the circuit, through the Districts, so that cheap Justice should be administered to the people at their doors, instead e records being obliged to come to town in dear law, as they now are obliged to

the Council made a co

the tacked to the my that the Bill de Judges had been This was pertaps one nents that was over des of the Council. What of the word "independent" the biles 2 Who was to be the authority, or cribunal, to declare when the Judges would have become "independent?" Let us suppose for an instant that the rider was agreed to, and the Bill as amended had passed, and that it was to be law when the Judges would have been rendered " independent!" When was the Act to take effect? Who was to determine that the Judges had become "independent," and consequently that the Act was in force? Who was to declare in what

donaisted the "independence" of these functions. fles ? Was it to be the Executive ? The clause did not say. Was it to be the Colonial Office. No knowing. Was it the Judges themselves that were to be judges of their own "independence?" Deponent saith not. Again, in what was to consist their "independence?" Was it in receiving their salaries from the Governor, without the consent or vote of the House of Assembly? In this case, they would be "independent" of the Assembly. Was it in having their salaries voted permanently, whilst their Commissions would continue to be during pleasure of the Crown? In truth there is no knowing. The rider was one of the most unbecoming and disgraceful-clauses that ever issued from a legislative body. A schoolboy would have descraedly been birched had he written such nonsense; and yet the legal existence of an Act affecting so much the interests of society was to depend on such unmeaning words! The amended Bill was very properly laid aside. If the members of the Legislative Council cannot write sense, the sooner the people replace them by persons who can, the better for the community the sooner will the Judicature of the Province be improved.

8. Sole Leather Inspection.—Complaints were made to the Legislature that the public is subject to fraud in the present state of this trade. Leather, by certain management, being made to imbibe a great degree of moisture, by this means the weight is evidently increased, and the purchaser made to pay for a pound of water as much as he pays for a pound of Leather. By the Bill in question, it was provided that Sole Leather should be regularly inspected, and weighted by proper officers, who should mark on the hides the weight thereof. The purchaser would thus pay only for leather, and not be obliged to pay for salt and water.

By the Legislative Council's amendment, the inspection was permitted to be "optional"that is, it was left to the option of the seller of Leather to be honest or not, according as his interest was concerned. Why, they have that option now, yet complaints are made of fraud being practised. It was to take away the option of being dishonest, and to oblige people to be honest, that the Bill was passed. It is evident to all that the "optional" amendment of the Legislative Council just left the matter where it found it. Instead of saving "Live Fiel," they said "Fish alive," This was all the difference. The Bill was sent up on the 5th, and returned with this amendment on the 15th March. They took ten days to hit upon an expedient to destroy the Bill.

9. INSPECTION OF POT AND PEARL ASHES.-The Bill sent up by the Assembly provided for the reduction of the fees nov: charged by the Inspector. The inspection was fixed at 41d, instead of 6d per cwt., and the storage was reduced from 6d to 5d. It was also declared that Inspectors could not be Magistrates. The motive for this last provision will be easily understood, when it is known that in case of dispute between the Manufacturer and the Inspector. sort of appeal lay to the Magistrates : in other cases the Inspector had the right to prosecute the Manufacturer before a Magistrate, for infraction of the Act. Now there was nothing in the Act to prevent a brother Inspector who happened to be a Magistrate, from administering justice, or presiding in these cases where his confrere would be thus interested. To obviate the chance of such improper proceeding, the Assembly declared that these Inspectors should not be Magietrates. The Council struck out this unobjectionable provision, so the Bill was lost.

10. MONTREAL AND QUEBEC INCORPORATION Bills .- We have already alluded to the benefit to be received from the Incorporation of cities and towns. So important has the Reform of MunicipalCorporations been considered in England, that at an early period after the passing of the Reform Bill, it occupied the sorious attention of His Majesty's Government. The Corporations of England became entirely semedelled; their powers extended, and more of the democratic principle infused into those institutions. At the opening of the present Session of Parliament, His Majesty in his Speech from the Throne directed the attention of Parliament to the state of the Corporations of Ireland, and a Bill has passed the House of Commons to extend the privileges and to remodel the constitutions thereof. With policy so avowedly liberal as this governing the Cabinet in England, it was to be expected that a similar policy would be extended to the Colonies, and that the latter would be permitted to enjoy the benefits to be derived from the operation of the liberal principles which were acted upon in favor of the people of England and Ire-

With this expectation, Bills were passed by the Assembly extending the powers of the Corporations of Quebec and Montreal, and in some manner putting them on an equality with the city of Toronto, in Upper Canada: The LegIslative Council so amended these Hills, that scarce a vestige of utility was left remaining. The qualification of Common-Councilmen was raised; so that no person could be elected to that office unless be possessed immercable property to the amount of £1,000. The effect of this single amendment would be, that several of the wards would be unrepresented. Various other amendments, equally objectionable. were introduced rendering the Corporations rather aristocratic clubs than any thing clea. These amerdments could not be egreed to, and the Bills dropped. In this dilemma a Bill was sent up to renew the expiring Corporation. Acts. This was rejected. Thus our Cities are deprived of their charters. The evil effects of such proceedington the part of the Council is now evident in our cities. Our Streets are not lighted, and we are unprotected by Watchmen. Such are some of the practal consequences of the insane conduct of the Legislative Council of Lower Canada.

We have now, at the expense of much research. and time, given the reader a detailed description of the nature and provisions of the various Bills which have been destroyed by the Legislative Council of this Province, in the course of the last Session of the Provincial Parliament. This description will enable him to judge between the Representatives of the Province, and those who by virtue of their irresponsible authority, des succeeded in inflicting so much injured community. The extent of this table have already observed, tocaled provements arrested: generation put a dop to ject invaded; the publish the people of the superintending the fairs. Such are the of having Irres The evils which

are not of yesterdays.

1827, it was made a men.
Laws conceived by the post
their common welfare were desired.

cil. Those evils are still not only unmilicated,
but considerably increased, as the following statement, made up from Parliamentary returns, will
shew.

Statement of the number of Bills which having originated in the House of Assembly of Louer Canada, were either rejected by the Legislative Council, or amended so as to cause their rejection by the Assembly; exhibiting the obstructive character of the said Council.

Year.	Rejected by the Council.	amended by theCouncil.	Total.
1822	8	0	. 8
1823	14	distant Part by a	5.16
1824	1 19	il mail brown	nud7
1825	13	A. T. S. abi-	- 17
1828	19	8	90
1817	No sess.	No sess.	No sess
1828 '9	16	8	24
1830	16	8	24
1831	1 10 L	100 100 0 0 17 2 100 100 0 0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	1 14
1839	14	8	. 33
1838	16	1 16	32
1834	25	1 8	1 33
1835	THE PARTY OF THE P	Marie Con-	1 19
1836	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 15	49
Totals	216	1 86	1 302

Against conduct so oppressive as is here set forth, so ruinous to the improvement of the country, and so utterly incompatible with good Government there is no protection but a change in the Constitution of that Body which has so wantonly soused its power, and exercised it; not for the advantage of the community, but as the means of perpetrating public mischief. The mischievous consequences of their misconduct is evident from the nature of the Bills which they have destroyed. The gradual and minerease of those evils is evident by the above table, and puts beyond the destruction of all pubin the Assembly for whom it is elected wow settled down

nio a confirmed.

The only
that system is

bill sent up this year, to celling 17 expiring acts. It is the property of the 17 acts which council, as by their rejections the Bill which continued them, they were responsible for the loss of the whole seventeen.

imagine that a change in the composition of that Council, would obviate all necessity for a change in its Constitution. That experiment has been tried, and has woefully failed. Formerly, the majority of the members of the Legislative Council in this Province were in some way or other connected with, and dependant on, the Executive Government. Such a composition was loudly complained against. Other members were afterwards added, unconnected with the Executive, and it was expected that the two Legislative chambers would be thus brought to harmonize together. What has been the consequence? By reference to the above tables, it will be seen that more Bills have been destroyed each year since the boasted change in the composition of the Council, than in any of the preceding years when the majority of that body were the dependents of the Executive.

The truth is, this is but the natural effect of irresponsible power. Put an honest, a well meaning man, into office for life, irresponsible to any authority, and he invariably abuses the power with which he is invested. It is the inherent concomitant of irresponsibility. Several . Members of the Assembly-men who always voted with the majority of their fellow representatives have been made Legislative Councillors, and with two or three exceptions, they have all gone over to the enemy, and vote in the Council with the abstractive majority, he regularly as if they lad never sat in say other branch of the Laglation. The experience gained from a knowledge of these various facts, has at length convinced the majority of the pegple of the section of altering the Constitution of the Legislative Council of this Province. The character of that hody is utterly destroyed. The public configuration it is totally annihilated. During the last dession, it was afforded an opportunity of intriving that lost character. The opportunity was thrown away. The inveterate animosity of that body to the interests of the Province was only rendered more apparent. The black catalogue of their misdeeds has been only increased, and the determination is now taken, that no public business will again be transacted with that Legislative Council until it is radically remodelled and changed.

the per sale in the system of the system of

onneilgand, following stateby returns, will

Hills, that

remaining.

cilmen way

alested to

mmeveeble

The effect

, that sev-

sented. Va-

jectionable.

Corporations

thing clee.

agreed to.

emma a Bill

Corporation

our Cities

evil effects

he Council is

reets are not

Watchmen.

nsequences of

ve Council of

set forth, so

bus yutuuoo

ed description

various Bills

he Legislative

course of the

inment. This

to between the

and those who

uthority he

### TABLE I.

in paiding was that to applying a fast acquired t

that Council world obtained meetally, lates

List of Bills passed by the Assembly, sent to the Council and not returned.

1. Bill for appointing an Agent in the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland-(Passed the Assembly Nov. 3rd.)

2. Bill for better ensuring the freedom of Elections, by the removal of the Troops from the places in which such Elections are held -(do. do. Oet. 31st.)

Bill to abolish the punishment of Pillory in certain cases.

Bill to provide for the nomination, and appointment of Parish and Township Officers, within the Seigniories and Townships of this Province- (Passed the Assembly Dec. 14(h)

5. Bill to make the salaries and emoluments of public officers liable to attachment at the suit of the creditors of such officers-(do. do. Nov. 9th.)

6. Bill to repeal certain parts of an Ordinance therein mentioned concerning persons to be admitted to practice the Law in this Province—(de. do. Nov 9th.)

7. Bill to amend the Act of the 55th year of the Reign of George 3rd chap. 10, relative to (dp. do. Nov. 20.)

8 Bill to amend a certain Act therein mentioned, and to make further provisions for making, altering and repairing highways and bridges—(do. do. Feb 29th) 9. Bill to regulate Notarial Protession—(do.

Bill to regulate Notarial Profession—(av. do. Dec. 12th.)
 Bill to provide for the reprinting of the Provincial Ordinances and Statutes now in force—[do. do. Nos. 20th.]
 Bill to regulate the exercise the rights of Lessors and Lessons—[do. do. More. 5th.]
 Bill for the better regulation of the formalities to be observed in the closing of inventories—[do. do. Nos. 20th.]
 Bill to remove all decisions with respect to the benefit of Cession forms in certain cases therein mentions—[do. do. March 5th.]
 Bill to make further provision for maintaining the Court Houses and Jails in the

Counties of this Province-fdo. do. Decr. 914.]

15. Bill to establish a free Bridge over the

River St. Charles—[do. do. Jany. 11th.]
16. Bill to regulate the administration and management of the Fiefs, Seigniories and other Estates formerly belonging to the order of Jesuits-[do. do. Feby. 20th.]

17. Bill to repeal a certain Act therein mentioned, concerning the printing and distribution of the Provincial Statutes, and to make other provisions on the same sub-

ject-[do. do. Jany, 4.] Bill to establish a Post Office in this Province, and to provide for the future management of the same—[de. de. Juny. 27.

19. Bilt to limit the number of passengers in vassels coming into this Province from Europe—[de. de. Juny. 30.]

20. Bill to repeal certain Acts therein menti-

Malendens of the manner of Bolls and house,

or ignored in the Board of Assentials of Espera

Carpinel Search Caller by the Secretary State Colombia

or ed, and to provide for the further Ex-COURAGEMENT OF EDUCATION in this Pro-

vince-[do. do. F.b. 26.]

Bill to prevent Duelling—[do. do. Merch 4.]
Bill to make good certain sums advanced
to meet the contingent expenses of the
Legislative Council and of the House of Assembly-[do. do. Feb. 20.]

Bill to prevent and punish Stellionate-

Bill to regulate the mode of summoning Defendants, who have no known domicile in the Province, in matters of saiste arret [do do. March 9.]

Bill to diminish the duties payable on To-hacco imported by land or by inland bay-igation—[do. do. March 1.]

26. Bill to provide means for defraying the expenses of the Civil Government of the Province for the time between the 15th Jany, 1838 and the 15th July of the same year, and to provide for certain expenses therein mentioned—[do. 16 March 2]

27. Bill to provide more effectually for the catablishment of a strict and efficient Quantum antine in the Province of Lower Canada.

Ido. do. March 9.1 Bill to repeal so much of two Acts therein mentioned, made and passed in the P ment of the United Kingdom of Gratain and Ireland, as authorized tation of the Tenure of La

tation of the Lenure fief, and à titre de c the tenure of free (do..do. March 4) Bill to amend the dividing the changing one of Missisque Bill to reduce

officers of the La Bill to provid

missioners to be so of Lauzon, by the purposes therein me

32. Bill to provide for the CHAMBLY CANAL (SECOND

33. Bill to provide for the can and Lock, above the Villag and Lock, above the Villag and Lock, above the Villag and the River Chambly—(do. do. March 7.)

34. Bill to continue, for a limited time, the Actarelating to the Incorporation of the Cities of

Quebec and Montreal-(do. do. March 12)

TABLE II.

Bills passed by the Assembly, sent to the Council and returned with amendments.

Bill to repeal an Act passed in the tenth and eleventh years of His late Majr sty's Reign,

intituled "An Ast for the relief of certain Religious Congregations therein mentioned," and to make other Legislative provisions in the place thereof—(Passed the Assembly, "Mediendent of or by linking Assettere V Nos. 11.)
Bill to regulate the qualification and summering of Jurors in Civil and Criminal matters—(do. do. Feb. 16.)
Bill to repeal the ordinance therein men-Juny. 27. sengers in tioned, concerning quertering the Troops on certain occasions in the country parishvince from es, and the upnveyance of effects belonging to the Government—(do. do. Nov. 13) Bill to authorize Pierre Gingras to build a ein mentiorther Ev-Toll Bridge over the River Cap-Rouge—
(do. do. Dec. 18)

Bill to make further provision for the im-. March 4. advanced provement and enlargement of the Harbor ses of the of Montreal and to appoint Cemmissioners for that purpose—(do. do. Dec. 19)
Bill to amend an act therein mentioned re-House of nate-Ide. J. Bill to amend the Judicature of the Provummoning ince, and to extend, and facilitate the adn domicile tole areal thereof-do. do. Jan. 18)
Bill for the further and permanent Exble on To-5. Bill concerning the erection of Parishes, s. Bill concerning the erection of Parishes,
and the construction and maintenance of
the Churches Presbyteries and Burial grounds

the Church S

the Church ing the exn the 15th red's:] for the ca-ant Quar-Canada— 11. Hill, to vest the property of Pierre Chas-eura Bruseum of Natural History in the Public—ds. do. Feb. 29)

13. Bill to consolidate, extend and amend the Acts therein the Pa one of divers acts concerning the of Quebec-[do. do. March 7.] the Corporation of the the de. March 7.]. wo acts there-Inspection of do. do. March the Council but not the set of the 36th Geo. III. Bonsecours in the Seigniory des rch 7.) e, the Acts Eboulemens dite de Sales Laterriere, in the County of Saguenay.

3. Bill to enable the Justices of the Poace and Officers of the Peace to repress cerhe Cities of March 12 tain proceedings known by the name of the Council Charlearis. tenth and by's Reign,

4. Bill for making all mortgages and Hypothe-ques special, for abolishing customary dower, Deuaire Contumer and for other purposes. 5. Bill to give effect and validity to a Bill passed by the Legislative Council and Assembly of this Province, intituled an act for rendering valid conveyances of Land, and other immoveable property held in free and common soceage in the Province of Lower Canada, and for other purposes therein mentioned, to which the Royal assent was given and signified, after the period limited by law. TABLE IV. Bill reserved by the Governor in Chief for the consideration of Downing Street. 1. An act to provide for making, and maintaining a Rail Road from the River St. Lawrence to the Province Line. RECAPITULATION. Number of sitting days 109 Number of Bills introduced in the Assembly 117 Received from the Council, Total Passed by the Assembly, sent to the Council, and not returned, S4
Passed by the Assembly, sent to the Council, and returned with amendments, Introduced in the Assembly, but not pas-Received from the Council, and not pas-Reserved for the another of Downing-Street, Reported Standing Community the Session: ee made during Privileges and E Grieval Courts of Education and Education Jesuite' Agriculture, Roads and Public Imp Expiring Laws,
Private Bills,
Hospitals and Charitable Institutions,

Number of Special Committees named during the Session, some of which had power to report from time to time, Reports made therefrom,

Contingent Expenses and other objects connected with the Internal Department

of the House of Assembly,